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Rifabutin as salvage therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication: Cornerstones and novelties
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Abstract

When several *Helicobacter pylori* eradication treatments fail, guidelines recommend a cultured guided approach. However, culture is not widely available, therefore a rifabutin based regimen could be the best solution. Rifabutin shows indeed a low rate of antibiotic resistance. Rifabutin is generally used in combination with amoxicillin in a triple therapy, with eradication rates around 80% in third line regimens. The ideal duration of this therapy should range between 10 and 12 d. Combinations with antibiotics other than amoxicillin have demonstrated even better results, such as vonoprazan which is a type of novel acid suppressor drug. Finally, a new formulation of triple therapy in a single capsule is under investigation, which is a field that deserves to be further investigated into. Some notes of caution about rifabutin should be mentioned. This drug is used to treat tuberculosis or atypical mycobacteria, therefore, before starting a rifabutin-based eradication regimen, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection should be thoroughly tested, since its use could promote the development of antibiotic resistance, thus affecting its effectiveness against Koch’s bacillus. Additionally, some serious side effects must be evaluated before starting any rifabutin-based therapy. Adverse effects include fever, nausea, vomiting and bone marrow suppression. For this reason, full blood count surveillance is required.
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Core Tip: Rifabutin is an antibiotic that is commonly used to treat tuberculosis or atypical mycobacteria. However, it shows antimicrobial effect against *Helicobacter pylori* as well. It is indicated when multiple eradication treatments have failed. In this review
we have summarized current evidence about traditional triple therapy containing amoxicillin and rifabutin as salvage therapy, based on the most recent meta-analyses. Furthermore, other novelties regarding rifabutin based regimens have been mentioned.

INTRODUCTION

*Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) is a widespread cause of infectious disease, mainly causing chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, but also causing gastric cancer or mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma[1]. In Italy, it is estimated that more than one-third of the adult population is infected by this[2]. The Kyoto consensus report on gastritis appointed *H. pylori* gastritis as a nosologically distinct entity in the new International Classification of Disease 11th Revision; this entails that all *H. pylori*-infected patients must be treated, regardless of clinical manifestations[3].

The diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection is understood by performing different tests; however, the most appropriate one to achieve an accurate diagnosis is still being debated. On the other hand, an increase of *H. pylori* resistance to previously efficacious antimicrobics has been observed, thus making eradication of the bacterium more and more complex[4]; the eradication of this bacterium requires the combination of multiple antibiotics, which in return reduces patients adherence to the treatment and increases rates of adverse events secondary to therapy. Molecular methods, such as real time polymerase chain reaction may allow to understand if the isolated strain carries genes that confer resistance to antibiotics (mostly against levofloxacin or clarithromycin)[5]. The Maastricht VI/Florence consensus report suggests the microbiological culture is a gold standard for antibiotic susceptibility; however, culture cannot be considered a routine diagnostic test as it is complex, expensive and requires dedicated personnel[6].

The first-line eradication therapy should be chosen according to the local prevalence of antimicrobial resistance; however, in several areas of Italy it is unknown, but in some areas of Central and Southern Italy there are proofs regarding a high prevalence of clarithromycin resistance, close to the 30%[7]. International guidelines recommend a 10-14-d regimen based on quadruple therapy as a first line choice in countries with high (>
15%) resistance to clarithromycin: (1) Bismuth based quadruple therapy: Proton pump inhibitor (PPI) + bismuth + tetracycline + metronidazole, also known as Pylera®, with an eradication rate of 90%[8,9]; and (2) Non bismuth concomitant quadruple therapy: PPI + clarithromycin + amoxicilline + metronidazole/tinidazole), which raises the eradication rate to 75%. If the first line bismuth quadruple therapy regimen fails, levofloxacin containing regimen is recommended as the second line. However, after multiple treatment failures, empirical rescue regimens have been suggested and rifabutin has proven to be effective in this scenario[10,11].

RIFABUTIN MECHANISM OF ACTION, PHARMACODYNAMICS AND PHARMACOKINETICS

Rifabutin is a rifampicin derivative compound; it has a high lipid-solubility, an elevated oral absorption (with high tissue-to-plasma ratio) and chemical stability at a wide pH range (i.e., in the gastric environment): In an in vivo study carried out on rats, the concentration of rifabutin in gastric secretion was 10-17 times superior than in plasma, suggesting considerable gastric secretion[12]. Rifabutin is extensively metabolized, which means dosage adjustments are necessary in patients with severe renal or hepatic dysfunction. This drug shows a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity; it is mostly used against mycobacteria (Mycobacterium leprae, Mycobacterium tuberculosis and atypicals[13]), some of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, Toxoplasma gondii, and Chlamydia trachomatis.

The in vitro sensitivity of H. pylori to this antibiotic is high (with a minimum inhibitory concentration-minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs)-lower than that found for amoxicillin, clarithromycin, and metronidazole[14,15]), and it does not share resistance to clarithromycin, metronidazole or levofloxacin[16,17], thus making rifabutin based rescue regimen a potential treatment after multiple failures[18-20]. Rifabutin acts inhibiting the β-subunit of bacterial DNA-dependent RNA polymerase encoded by the rpoB gene, thus acting a bactericidal action.
**H. PYLORI RESISTANCE TO RIFABUTIN**

*H. pylori* antibiotic resistance is the main worldwide problem affecting current eradication regimens; *H. pylori* shows great vitro susceptibility *in vitro* to rifabutin[21,22], and resistances to this antimicrobial are lower than that found for amoxicillin, clarithromycin, and metronidazole[23]. The reference methodology to identify resistances is microbiological testing, which is often hard to perform because the culture of this germ may be difficult, and requires expert hands.

This antibiotic is used for tuberculosis (TB) treatment, especially in subjects with human immunodeficiency virus co-infection. For such reason, before starting a rifabutin-based eradication regimen, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection should be tested, as its use could promote the development of antibiotic resistance, thus affecting its effectiveness against Koch’s bacillus[24]. Some laboratory mutants of *H. pylori*, obtained *in vitro*, with amino acid alterations in codons from 524 to 545 or in codon 585 of rpoB, showed resistance to rifabutin[25]. In a Japanese study, a negligible resistance rate (0.24%) to rifabutin was observed in cultures of strains isolated from more than 400 patients. Only one rifabutin resistant strain was found in a subject with previous rifampin therapy for lung tuberculosis[26]. It was observed that previous rifampicin exposure may be related to high MICs to rifabutin, with point mutations in rpoB gene, thus hinting a possible cross-resistance between rifabutin and rifampicin[27].

It has been postulated that multiple strains of *H. pylori*, either resistant and/or susceptible to different antibiotics, can be present in the same patient, thus suggesting the combined use of rifabutin with other antibiotics: In fact, several studies show that the risk of antimicrobial resistance onset is lower when it is used in combination with other antibiotics such as amoxicillin[28].

**EFFICACY OF RIFABUTIN REGIMENS IN H. PYLORI ERADICATION**

Recent studies revealed that the prevalence of *H. pylori* resistance to rifabutin and amoxicillin was minimal, so a therapy with the association of rifabutin and amoxicillin
could achieve satisfactory eradication rates. This regimen is recommended for rescue therapy in some consensus reports\textsuperscript{[29-31]}.

A systematic review by Malfertheiner et al\textsuperscript{[32]} showed that rifabutin containing rescue therapy is a powerful therapy after several (usually three) previous eradication failures: A prevalence rate of rifabutin resistance of only about 1% was found, and, furthermore, when studies include patients who are naïve to \textit{H. pylori} eradication treatment, the data was even lower (0.6%). In general, mean weighted \textit{H. pylori} eradication rate (at intention-to-treat analysis) was 73%; eradication rates of second, third- and fourth/fifth line regimens were respectively 79%, 66% and 70%. All the studies examined in the review used rifabutin at the dose of 300 mg/d, which seemed to be more successful than 150 mg/d. The optimal treatment duration for rifabutin pointed out is 10- to 12 d.

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Liu et al\textsuperscript{[33]} analyzed 537 articles from medical journals (PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, and SCI) of randomized clinical trials evaluating \textit{H. pylori} therapy, recruiting a treatment group with a PPI, rifabutin, and amoxicillin. 21 articles were selected, and the overall eradication rate was the 70.4% at intent-to-treat (ITT) and 72.0% by per-protocol (PP) analyses: The eradication effectiveness obtained with rifabutin and amoxicillin was lower than other triple therapies (68.4% vs 81.9% success rate); the effectiveness of the combination was not greater than the association of amoxicillin and levofloxacin; the effectiveness of the association of amoxicillin and rifabutin was comparable to the quadruple therapy, which included a PPI and amoxicillin; the cure rate of rifabutin plus amoxicillin was lower than bismuth-containing quadruple therapy. This review has established that a regimen with PPI, rifabutin, and amoxicillin for \textit{H. pylori} infection could not be the optimal choice for rescue therapy after several eradication failures.

Gingold-Belfer et al\textsuperscript{[34]} conducted another meta-analysis of 33 randomised controlled trials which used triple therapy with rifabutin and amoxicillin and found a pooled success of 71.8%. Lee et al\textsuperscript{[35]} analyzed 84 patients’ overall resistance rates to amoxicillin, clarithromycin, metronidazole, and moxifloxacin and found they were respectively the 13.1%, 83.3%, 47.6%, and 71.4%. A susceptibility-guided therapy was proposed, based
on culture, and it was shown that it was both effective and devoid of complications, even for patients reporting high antimicrobial resistance; in particular, in the arm receiving rifabutin due to multiple resistances, the eradication rate was 100%.

In 2022, Nyssen et al\textsuperscript{[36]} data analysis based on European multicentre prospective observational Registry about \emph{H. pylori} management (Hp-EuReg) was performed, analyzing 18 different rifabutin-containing treatments including two or three other antibiotics and recruiting 500 patients. Rifabutin was mostly used in second-line (32%), third-line (25%), and fourth-line (27%) regimens, with a respective success rate of 78%, 80% and 66% according to modified intention-to-treat analysis.

In 2022, Inokuchi et al\textsuperscript{[37]} enrolled patients who did not respond to second-line therapy to assess the efficacy and safety of 7-d rifabutin, amoxicillin, and vonoprazan triple therapy (20 mg vonoprazan b.i.d., 500 mg amoxicillin q.i.d., and 150 mg rifabutin q.d.) lasting 7 d as third- or later-line treatment for \emph{H. pylori} infection. Intention-to-treat and PP analyses showed a high eradication rate (91.2%, 95% confidence interval: 84.99% and 92.7%, 95% confidence interval: 86%-100%, respectively). The results imply that this regimen was efficient and safe as a third-line or in successive efforts of \emph{H. pylori} eradication.

New drugs, combining rifabutin all-in-one with other drugs, are in course of study: A phase three, double-blind study (ERADICATE Hp) driven by Kalfus et al\textsuperscript{[38]}, randomized (2:1) treatment naïve dyspeptic patients with \emph{H. pylori} infection to RHB-105 (Talicia\textsuperscript{\textregistered}), a new all-in-one association of omeprazole 40 mg, amoxicillin 1000 mg, and rifabutin 50 mg, reanomdized vs placebo, both given every 8 h for two weeks; the study has showed a \emph{H. pylori} eradication rate ITT of 89.4%.

An association of rifabutin with other antibiotics has been tried: An intervention study in Southern Italy\textsuperscript{[39]} considered rifabutin and tetracycline association after three or more eradication therapy attempt failures: Only rifabutin and tetracycline were tested in a relevant number of patients, reporting an eradication rate of 80.4% (per protocol) and 77.4% (intention-to-treatment).
Italian guidelines suggest the 12-d rifabutin-amoxicillin triple therapy (i.e., PPI at standard dose b.i.d., amoxicillin 1 g b.i.d. and rifabutin 150 mg b.i.d.) as a rescue regimen[^40] that has demonstrated to be useful after several previous therapeutic failures[^39]. Other studies, such as the one performed by Malfertheiner et al[^32], an ideal length of treatment from 10- to 12 d is suggested, whilst latest publication of Inokuchi et al[^37] suggest a 7-d regimen.

**SIDE EFFECTS**

Despite the rifabutin-based regimens effectiveness, serious side effects must be evaluated before starting any rifabutin-based therapy. Adverse effects include fever, nausea, vomiting, with a “not common” and reversible effect, i.e., bone marrow suppression. For this reason, full blood count surveillance is required. Uveitis has recently been described in patients under an association of rifabutin and other antimycobacterial drugs[^31,42].

In the study by Inokuchi et al[^37], adverse events occurred in 31.6% of the patients; also in the article by Nyssen et al[^36] one or more side effects were recorded in the 26% of the patients (nausea was the most common), and only one severe bone marrow adverse event (0.2%) was described. Furthermore, it is possible that rifabutin may induce changes in the intestinal microbiota even if there are no studies in the literature on this topic, to the best of our knowledge. Presumably, this can be explained by the limited use of this antibiotic in *H. pylori* infection therapy.

**CONCLUSION**

*H. pylori* eradication is nowadays a worldwide challenge for clinicians. In 2017, the World Health Organization classified resistance to clarithromycin as a “high-priority” issue for *H. pylori[^43].* Microbiological cultures are advised[^7], but they are difficult to perform for the slow bacterial growth and particular nutritional requirements thus making it very expensive as they require specialized staff with a specialized laboratory.
Furthermore, in latest studies, Pylera® therapy eradication rates are comparable to culture-tailored therapies\textsuperscript{[44]}. Facing treatment failures, rifabutin has an interesting role against \textit{H. pylori}, since such drug shows excellent \textit{in vitro} effectiveness, and the diffusion of its resistance is very low (< 1%). Side effects should be weighed, even though severe adverse events are exceptional. In all the studies analyzed, rifabutin has a great effectiveness, safety and tolerability when used as a “rescue regimen”, \textit{i.e.}, third or fourth-line therapy; in conclusion, the use of rifabutin as a new first-line treatment alternative for \textit{H. pylori} gastritis should be thoroughly pondered, by evaluating the risk of microbial resistance, the high cost of treatment and the wide availability and effectiveness of alternative drugs. This could be precociously evaluated in the eradication algorithm in high resistance areas.
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