## Supplementary Materials

## Radiological features

Supplementary Table 1 Detailed descriptions of radiological features

| Radiological features | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tumour size | The largest cross-sectional diameter of HCC on $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ WI obtained at portal phase. |
| Tumour shape | Irregular tumours were defined as nonnodular tumours, such as focal or crescent extra-nodular extension beyond the capsule, multinodular confluence appearance, and focal infiltrative margin. |
| Intratumor fat | Decreased opposed-phase $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-weighted signal intensity compared with in-phase $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-weighted signal intensity. |
| Intratumor necrosis | A central area of high-signal intensity on fat-suppressed $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{WI}$ without enhancement on postcontrast $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{WI}$ and involving at least $20 \%$ of the tumour area at the level of the largest cross-sectional diameter. |
| Intratumor | Hyperintense area on $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{WI}$, with variable signal intensity on |
| haemorrhage | $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{WI}$. |
| Enhancing capsule | Peripheral rim of smooth hyperenhancement in the portal phase or delay phase. |
| Tumour-to-liver ADC ratio | Tumour ADC, ROI encompassing the HCC, but avoiding the areas identified as substantial necrosis on ADC images at the level of the largest cross-sectional diameter; Liver ADC, ROI measuring 200-300 $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ placed on adjacent liver parenchyma avoiding vessels; The tumour-to-liver ADC ratio were calculated. |

Note. HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{WI}$ : $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-weighted imaging; $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{WI}$ : $\mathrm{T}_{2}-$ weighted imaging; ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient; ROI: region of interest.

## Image segmentation process

The DCE images were imported into ITK software, and the whole liver cancer was manually segmented layer by layer to determine the volume of interest. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the representative results of the whole tumour on AP, PP, and DP sequences using ITK software. Three-dimensional volumetric reconstruction of the segmented lesion is shown at the bottom right.


## Supplementary Figure 1 Image segmentation process using ITK software.

## RSD calculation

RSD is the absolute value of the coefficient of variation and is usually expressed as a percentage according to the following formula.
$R S D=\frac{\sigma_{A U C}}{\mu_{A U C}} \times 100 \%$
where $\sigma_{A U C}$ and $\mu_{A U C}$ are the standard deviation and mean of the 500 AUC values, respectively. It should be noted that higher stability corresponds to lower RSD values. For each machine learning algorithm, we trained the model on a subsampled training cohort (size $\mathrm{N} / 2$ ) from the training set and evaluated
the performance on the remaining data using AUC of the receiver operating characteristic curve. Subsampling of the training was performed 500 times using a bootstrap approach.

## Radiomics features and Rad-score

## Supplementary Table 2 Details of retained radiomics features

| Sequence <br> S | Coefficients | Features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AP}(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | -0.066 | Shape-sphericity |
|  | -0.427 | GLCM-MCC |
|  | 0.195 | LoG-sigma-3-0-mm-3D_firstorder_90Percentile |
|  | 0.302 | LoG-sigma-3-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Kurtosis |
|  | 0.221 | wavelet-LLH_firstorder_Kurtosis |
|  | -0.120 | wavelet-LHL_firstorder_Skewness |
|  |  | wavelet- |
|  | 0.299 | LHH_gldm_DependenceNonUniformityNormali zed |
|  | -0.541 | wavelet-HHL_glcm_Correlation |
|  | -0.563 | wavelet-HHH_firstorder_Median |
| PP ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ) | -0.141 | First order-Kurtosis |
|  | 0.211 | GLCM-ClusterShade |
|  | 0.132 | LoG-sigma-2-0-mm-3D_glcm_ClusterShade |
|  | 0.248 | LoG-sigma-2-0-mm-3D_glcm_MCC |
|  | -0.084 | wavelet-LHL_glcm_Correlation |
|  | -0.430 | wavelet-HLL_glcm_MCC |
|  | 0.192 | wavelet-HLH_firstorder_Skewness |
|  | -0.027 | wavelet-HHL_glcm_MCC |
|  | -0.111 | wavelet- |
|  |  | HHL_glszm_LowGrayLevelZoneEmphasis |


| 0.021 | First order-Minimum |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.096 | wavelet-LHL_glcm_MCC |  |
| -0.687 | wavelet-LHH_firstorder_Median |  |
| 0.328 | wavelet-HLL_firstorder_Kurtosis |  |
| DP (n = 9) | 0.470 | wavelet-HLL_glcm_Correlation |
| 0.104 | wavelet-HLH_glcm_ClusterShade |  |
| -0.340 | wavelet-HHL_firstorder_Mean |  |
| 0.035 | wavelet-HHL_firstorder_Skewness |  |
| -0.173 | wavelet-HHH_firstorder_Median |  |

Note. Intercept = -1.302; the corresponding rad-score of radiomics signature was calculated.


Supplementary Figure 2 Rad-score plot of the radiomics signature in the training (A) and test (B) sets.

## Model score

Model score $=1.695-0.034 \times$ Age

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2.452 \times \text { AFP } \\
& +0.197 \times \text { Tumour size } \\
& -1.020 \times \text { Tumour-to-liver ADC ratio } \\
& +0.941 \times \text { Rad-score }
\end{aligned}
$$



Supplementary Figure 3 Model score plot of the combined model in the training (A) and test (B) sets.

