



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29405

Title: Laparoscopic Liver Resection for Posterosuperior Tumors with Caudal Approach and Postural Changes

Reviewer's code: 00069693

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-08-12 17:13

Date reviewed: 2016-08-25 21:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors propose a new technical approach with caudal approach and postural changes for laparoscopic liver resection for posterosuperior liver tumors. This issue is a challenge for laparoscopic surgery's liver tumors and the manuscript represents a contribution to overcome this challenge. I believe it would be appropriate that the title of this manuscript reflect the real nature of the matter contained therein. This manuscript is a technical description and not a clinical evaluation of this approach, because the authors are restricted to addressing only the technical aspects of laparoscopic resection posterosuperior liver tumors. If the authors have the conviction that this is a new technique, it should also be in the title, for example: "a new technical approach." The key words are not related in MESH and are not official. In the 21th line of the Introduction section, there is no need to mention the acronym ICLLR. If the authors consider important, they should explain what it means. On page 4, please put the references in "There are several reports (?) which describe different approaches to conquer the problem in LLR for posterosuperior tumors." Please put the reference after the name of the authors. For example: "Patriiti et al. (?) reported that robotic liver resection ...



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

“ There are other similar situations throughout the text.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29405

Title: Laparoscopic Liver Resection for Posterosuperior Tumors with Caudal Approach and Postural Changes

Reviewer's code: 00069464

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-08-12 17:13

Date reviewed: 2016-09-02 10:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The editorial discussed a new approach method to finish Laparoscopic liver resection (LLR) for tumors in posterosuperior liver (segment(S) 7 and deep S6).It is awesome to result the problem like the author described.But I still have some question as below. 1.As my opinion,just a method is not enough to meet the content of a editorial.you could add other surgical method about LLR for Posterosuperior Tumors to integrate it. 2.If you have some your own clinical data, you could add it to transform the editorial to article. 3.The langrage is not read smoothly,it need to polish again.