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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
1 Title: Potential Influences of Religiosity and Religious Coping Strategies on People with Diabetes - this title reflect the content of the article. It is well chosen. OK
2 Abstract. -summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. This review explored the potential influences of religiosity and religious coping strategies on people with diabetes. This study used a literature review approach to investigate how religiosity and religious coping strategies can influence the effective management of diabetes among patients. - OK
3 Key words. Diabetes mellitus; Religiosity; Religious belief; Religious coping strategies; Self-care management; Social support - OK
4 Background. research has focused on the influence of religion on poor health management and chronic diseases, such as DM. This review sought to assess the influence of religiosity and religious coping strategies on people with diabetes. - OK
5 Methods - OK for a minireview
6 Results. reflected by Table 2 Results on potential influence of religious coping on people with diabetes
7 Discussion. This manuscript can be viewed from different perspective. For sure an atheist will see different this article than an non-atheist. The authors try to be neutral, but it seems that they advocate for benefits of religiosity. Even so, this mini-review is acceptable because the issue is intriguing from any perspective, either as an atheist or as an religious viewer. On the other side, more important is the view of the patient and not of the physician or researcher.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I am really grateful for reviewing this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published in current form. This study made a rare attempt to examine a relationship between religious factors and diabetes management. I would like to point out that this is a great achievement.