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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have conducted an interesting research to analyze the correlation between Betatrophin/Angiogenin-like protein3/Lipoprotein lipase pathway and severity of coronary artery disease in Kazakh patients with coronary heart disease. Some suggestions for revision are listed below: 1. The P-values are not correctly presented. Write P<something only if P<0.001. If P is between 0.001 and 0.05 write the exact P-value. Please correct the results section. 2. The discussions can be improved, you need to compare your results with the available literature and comment on them, not just review the literature. 3. Maybe the authors can add newer references too, only 11/31 are from 2018 onwards. 4. Are the figures originally prepared by the authors? 5. What is the clinical relevance of your study? 6. What strategise do you propose based on your findings for a better management of CHD patients? How can you translate your results from the bench to the bedside?
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The authors addressed my comments and the paper can be accepted for publication.