



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 52517

Title: A review of source-monitoring processes in obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Reviewer's code: 02325254

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor

Reviewer's country: Italy

Author's country: France

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-06 09:23

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-07 08:48

Review time: 23 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper appears well written and its content is generally understood. The discussed



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

topic, namely a systematic review aiming at summarizing the results from studies investigating the relation between source-monitoring abilities and OCD symptoms may be considered of potential interest, especially for a better targeting of psychological interventions on this type of patients. The teaching point is practical. Methods and results were carefully described, as well as the presentation and the discussion of the selected studies. Tables and figures appear clear, exhaustive and helpful in better understanding the results and the discussion. Unfortunately, as acknowledged by the authors themselves in one of the final paragraphs of discussion, the paper suffers of several limitations. Despite being almost impossible to collect an adequate number of “homogeneous” studies and perform a perfect statistical correction, authors might want to consider mentioning also if such studies specified or not any possible gender differences and, in case, provide a brief comment on their possible role (i.e., does internal source-monitoring in OCD could possibly occur more often in women than in men? Could this difference be considered statistically significant?). Indeed, this should be just a brief mention or comment, no more than a paragraph. Similarly, authors may want to mention if any of the studies selected presented any finding on ethnic difference (i.e. Afro-americans vs. Asians?) As a final note, I would encourage the authors to correct minor grammar mistakes and minor formatting mistakes (i.e., reference 12, 13, 14 are sometimes reported in () and should be in [] instead). I believe this paper should be published after being revised and corrected from the authors.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- [] The same title
- [] Duplicate publication
- [] Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No