



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23078

Title: Applications of a dual-input two-compartment pharmacokinetic model of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00058381

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-10-27 20:52

Date reviewed: 2015-11-28 04:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Comment: Potential shortcomings (e.g., the rather small sample size) of the presented study are duly mentioned by the authors at the end of the "Discussion". On the whole, this manuscript would perhaps be more suitable for the World Journal of Radiology (rather than for the World Journal of Gastroenterology). Minor Comments: Some typing errors should be corrected (e.g., page 10: "A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significance." > "... statistically significant"; page 12: "This modified evaluation criteria implies that..." > "These modified evaluation criteria imply that..." or "This modified evaluation criterion implies that..."; page 16: "becuase" > "because")