SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear reviewer:
We appreciate and carefully studied your professional suggestions, and revised the manuscript, during this process, we have learned and improved our writing skills.

• Needs professional English editing. We have thoroughly revised, thank you.

• Change the title to: "2022 Monkeypox outbreak: Why is it a public health emergency of international concern? What can we do to control it?" we did.

• Add "Methods" section about Literature search and criteria. We did.

• Write the full term of (Sexual transmitted infections), then put the abbreviation (STIs) in parentheses in the "abstract" and "How is it spread" paragraphs. • (How does it spread) instead of (How is it spread). The full term of related parts have been changed.

• Mention to how monkeypox virus DNA was found in the semen of just some patients, and this isn’t enough evidence to call it a STI. • some studies evidence has been added and explained in the manuscript.

(How serious is monkeypox) instead of (How serious of monkeypox is). • Use the abbreviation (MPX) in all paragraphs. • (A disease outbreak is endemic when it is consistently present but limited to a particular geographic area or region) instead of (Endemic disease shows the disease is continuously present in a particular geographic area or region). • yes, we have changed all of them.

What is concluded is already in the literature, write your own conclusion. The conclusion has been revised in the conclusion part.
Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79159

Title: 2022 Monkeypox outbreak: Why is it a public health emergency of international concern? What can we do to control it?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06354247

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Reviewer_Country

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-11 10:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-16 02:17

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality

[] Grade A: Excellent
[ ] Grade B: Verygood
[ ] Grade C: Good

[ Y] Grade D: Fair
[ ] Grade E: Do not publish

Language quality

[] Grade A: Prioritypublishing
[ Y] Grade B: Minor languagepolishing

Grade C: A great deal of language polishing
[ ] Grade D: Rejection

Conclusion

[ ] Accept (High priority)
[ ] Accept (General priority)

[ ] Minor revision
[ Y] Major revision
[ ] Rejection

Re-review

[ ] Yes
[ Y] No
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear reviewer:
We appreciate and carefully studied your professional suggestions, and revised the manuscript, during this process, we have learned and improved our writing skills.

It is timely to discuss on the monkeypox outbreak. However, current version of the manuscript is lack of academic profoundness and originality. It would be appropriate to reduce the general introduction part of monkeypox characteristics and focus more on the new findings of current monkeypox outbreak and solutions to tackle it. There are several errors that should be corrected. 1. Jynneos is same product of Imvamune (marketed in Canada) and Imvanex (marketed in EU) that all manufactured by Bavarian Nordics. Thank you for your professional suggestions, we have searched and updated the information regarding the vaccine.

2. There are more than 2 vaccines available in worldwide. (such as CJ-50300 in Korea, LC16 in Japan). There are several parts that I want to discuss on. 1. "People are educated to reduce the risk of exposure and to reduce the number of sexual partners especially new partners, to avoid contacting travelers from epidemic areas " -> Isn't it too broad or even feasible to avoid any contact from the travelers from the epidemic countries? Now United States and most of the EU countries are epidemic countries. We totally agree with your opinions and updated the information in the manuscript.

2. "the WHO emergency committee held another expert meeting to discuss the monkeypox epidemic, the panel did not formally vote, six members were in favour of declaring a PHEIC, nine were against, considering the outbreak is an extraordinary event and the disease is a global public-health risk , WHO director-general Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus declared monkeypox had constituted the PHEIC on 23 July, that
poses a risk to multiple countries, and requires a coordinated international response" ->
It would be better to discuss why WHO declare PHEIC although nine were against to
that decision. **We appreciate your smart and talent advice, and have revised them in the
related section.**

Minor revisions1. You should state the full name when you abbreviate in the first time. (ex, MPX, CDC) 2. there are typos (ex, vesicular disease1) thank you for pointing out the
typos, we revised them.