Reviewer #1:
1. Redundant abbreviations should be avoided.
   Reply: The abbreviations were revised according to the requirements, and some redundant abbreviations were removed.
2. An editing of English language is recommended.
   Reply: The revised manuscript was further edited by a professional English language editing company. A new language certificate has been submitted to the system.

Reviewer #2:
1. The authors should explain how their findings make a difference for the readers of the Journal of World Journal of Clinical Cases?
   Reply: This case illustrates that Qingchang decoction (QCD) enema is beneficial for patients with left-sided UC in whom mesalazine treatment failed, thereby demonstrating that QCD is an effective option for these patients. We have added this sentence to the final paragraph of the DISCUSSION. In the CONCLUSION section, we also stated that “The case described herein demonstrates that the Chinese formula QCD retention enema can effectively reduce both the clinical and mucosal remission of left-sided UC, thus providing another effective therapy other than mesalazine. This finding provides a new understanding of the treatment of left-sided UC and a basis for further studies to determine the underlying mechanism of the QCD treatment effects.”
2. Improvements to the English language within your manuscript are requested.
   Reply: The revised manuscript was further edited by a professional English language editing company. A new language certificate has been submitted to the system.