

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13610

Title: Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: From basic research to clinical use

Reviewer's code: 02601681 Reviewer's country: Slovenia Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:24

Date reviewed: 2014-09-10 21:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: From basic research to clinical use is very well-written, interesting and thorough review paper. Abstract is informative and well written. Writing in the MS is concise, systematic and thorough. They authors wrote an informative introduction, and good discussion sections. A table or two may be added to make the text easier to read ans self-explanatory. Regarding the references, there are a lot of them (166). I would suggest performing spell checking, since there were few minor mistakes.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13610

Title: Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: From basic research to clinical use

Reviewer's code: 00013033 Reviewer's country: Hungary Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-29 08:24

Date reviewed: 2014-09-27 04:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[Y] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[Y] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An extensive review covering the basic science knowledge of HCC, yet the clinical applicability is almost missing. The current status of clinical trials should be included (major findings) and clearly highlighted. At least 40% of the revised paper should be clinical oriented. In addition, the paper is way too long, it should be significantly shortened and better focused. The topic is difficult to digest, therefore please include a Figure showing the major immunological events as well as a Table summarizing the completed or ongoing clinical trials and major outcomes if available