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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The research gap was not identified and discussed properly with suitable and recent references. Although the major structures inside and around the knee joint have been minutely explored, the anatomy of the anterolateral aspect of the knee joint has not yet been well established. – Give reference for this statement. Claes et al. reported the discovery of the anterolateral ligament (ALL) – almost 9 years old reference. How come this mini review is differing from reference number 21?
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Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee joint

Name of the Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Article type: MINIREVIEWS

1 Title. The title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript

2 Abstract. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. However, it needs to be summarized with paraphrasing for improving the quality of language.

3 Keywords. The keywords are adequate and reflect the focus of the manuscript.

4 Background. Line 76-85 is a long introduction that does not add anything to the reader. Please remove it. Line 99 presents with persisting “pivot shift test”) could be replaced by (presents with persistent “pivot shift test”) SISTORICAL REVIEW OF THE ALL PREVALENCE OF THE ALL. Those sections were very long and could be summarized and re-phrased to a more concise form. The review lacks discussing the function of the anterolateral ligament and its rule in anterolateral stability of the knee, could be better if added.

5 Illustrations and tables. MRI pictures could be of great value with keeping the copyrights of the sources of these photos.

6 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references?

7 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Very good but language editing is needed as many grammar issues are found.