



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 15320

Title: Usefulness of telepsychiatry: A critical evaluation of videoconferencing-based approaches

Reviewer's code: 00551176

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-12-01 20:16

Date reviewed: 2014-12-10 11:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Chakrabarti S: Usefulness of telepsychiatry: a critical evaluation of videoconferencing-based approaches. This is an excellent comprehensive review on the literature of telepsychiatry. The text is well structured, clear, easy to follow. Conclusions are well established. Some minor comments: Page 19, in the elderly section: something seems to be missing from this sentence: 'However, most studies are not adequately designed, and there are very RCTs. [60, 69, 82, 139- 141]' Page 22, line 15: Videoconferencing, instead of 'Videconferencing'. Page 22, line 20: counselling instead of 'counseling'. Header of Table 2: videoconferencing-based interventions instead of 'videconferencing-based intervenventions' For indicating in-person treatment two different methods (IP and F2F) are used in the Tables. I recommend unifying it.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 15320

Title: Usefulness of telepsychiatry: A critical evaluation of videoconferencing-based approaches

Reviewer's code: 00686536

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-12-01 20:16

Date reviewed: 2014-12-12 06:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an extremely well written manuscript regarding the important topic of telepsychiatry. Following a thorough and careful review of published data, the authors make a cogent argument that telepsychiatry is best recommended as adjunct therapy to in-person visits. I have no constructive criticism to add. This is an excellent review.