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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This case report present a rare neuroendocrine tumour developing after kidney transplantation in the setting of immunosuppression. The tumour's rare location makes it valuable for reporting. There are spelling and grammar issues throughout the hole manuscript - please review the manuscript with a specialised English reviewer. "And" should not be used at the beginning of sentences, there are many other connection words available. Please use substances names rather than commercial names for drugs referred to in the manuscript, or add the registered trademark sign. The discussion section should be more centred on the present case rather than general information on paragangliomas. The conclusion section - the message is fine but it should be entirely reformulated.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Editor
The authors present an interesting case report. Comments: 1. Generic names should be used i.e. cyclosporine and not Sandimmun, mizoribine and not Bredinin
2. What was done in surgery? Was it only transurethral surgery? How did the authors consider the muscle infiltration? How long is the post-op follow-up? 3. The past, family history and physical examination chapters can be deleted.
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