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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The manuscript is written too simply. The introduction is scarce and poor in epidemiological notes. Authors should include further possible applications of the technique (for example: lymphoma stenosis, advanced pancreatic cancer, advanced gastric cancer) not just SMA. Authors should specify the palliative nature of the surgical technique. The English language needs to be revised and the references are not very specific, the latter would be fine for a case report but the title requires a literature review and therefore should be expanded. In my opinion the discussion is too short for the purpose of a literature review
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The case presentation is well done and illustrated. However, the discussion would have deserved to be developed, especially the last sentence that deserved also a reference. English needs to be polished.
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