We are very flattered for the comments of our research. We thank the Referees for their interest in our work and for helpful comments that will greatly improve the manuscript and we have tried to do our best to respond to the points raised. The Referees have brought up some good points and we appreciate the opportunity to clarify our research. As indicated below, we have checked all the general and specific comments provided by the Referees and have made necessary changes accordingly to their indications. We hope that after the changes, the manuscript could be acceptable.

Reviewer:
Comments: 1- The review needs to be revised as regards language, many major language mistakes are found they are more than to be mentioned 2 A section about preoperative planning should be added 3 The whole manuscript needs to be summarized
Reply: We thank the reviewer of the comment. The manuscript has been reviewed by English native-speaker that summarized the manuscript. We attached the certification of the professional translator. The section of preoperative planning is present.

Re-reviewer:
Comments: Where is reference 8, 9? and reference 7 is cited before reference 10
Reply: We thank the reviewer for the comment. We modified the text as suggested.
Comments: General examination and other musculoskeletal deformities could be added as it is very important in assessment.
Reply: There is a sentence at the beginning of this paragraph that describes the importance of the patient’s general examination.
Comments: What about LDTA and LPFA?
Reply: The description of these angles is beyond the scope of this article. A sentence has been added little further on.
Comments: Consists of an iatrogenic
Reply: The sentence is grammatically correct
Comments: To summarize could be better option
Reply: We modified the text as suggested.
Comments: Post-trauma or post-traumatic
Reply: Corrected

Comments: No reference here

Reply: Reference has been added