Dear editor of World Journal of Clinical Cases and reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers’ comments on our case report entitled “Rare giant corneal keloid presenting 26 years after trauma: A case report” (ID: 75894). These comments have been very helpful in revising and improving our paper, and have been an important guide for our future research as well as other studies. We have studied the comments carefully and made corrections which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections are in the manuscript and the responses to the reviewers’ comments are as follows (the replies are highlighted in blue).

Replies to the reviewers’ comments:

Reviewer #1:
Title: Please, delete "Literature review" from title. No systematic review is found.
Page 5: "(Figure 3 A and 3 B)" is to be written (Figures 3A,3B). You may correct all similar points like (Figure 3 C and 3 D).
Page 6: This phrase "during the 4-mo follow-up", Please, write months instead of mo.
Page 7: Conclusion: This phrase "The genesis of such", please, add the word "keloids" following "such".
References: Please, write all references with the same style; Some journal names are written with complete names; others appear with abbreviations.

Response:
Thanks for your comments. I have removed “Literature review” from the title and changed the image name as you suggested. The reference format has been revised in the “Auto-Analyser” in the submission system.

Reviewer #2:
In this study, authors presented a 36-year-old patient with chief complaint of an enlarging left eye mass for the past 4 years. The mass was excised with superficial keratectomy. Subsequent histology and Immunohistochemistry studies confirmed the diagnosis of corneal keloid formation. This study is relevant. The organization & flow
of the paper looks good. Please discuss different surgical interventions which are more commonly used for treatment of corneal keloid excision and their indications based on the depth of infiltration, in discussion segment. Overall, the manuscript is unique and well-conducted. However, I would like to bring to your attention the existence of some minor linguistic issues that exist throughout the submitted manuscript, such as inconsistent use of capital and lower-case letters after columns and some grammatical/syntax errors that I noticed. These issues are very minor, however a thorough proofreading and correction where necessary would greatly improve overall presentation.

Response:

Thanks for your comments. Thank you for recognizing the scientific value of this article. I have read through the entire article and have corrected it for language errors.