Dear Editors and reviewers,

Thank you for your email from 15-08-2023.

Based on the instructions provided in your email, we are sending you the revised file with the highlighted changes. During the preparation of the revised manuscript, we agreed with all the comments made by the editor and reviewer and tried to respond point by point to each suggestion.

Reviewer 03262127 - Thank you for your opinions and appreciations.

1. paralisis (Page 5) - **paralysis is right.**
   - We corrected this word in the manuscript.

2. Second right thoracoscopy (Page 6) - how to understand the word "second"?
   - We corrected this word in the manuscript.

3. Abbreviations CME-TH and TH (Page 7) should be explained in full at the first appearance in text.
   - We corrected these aspects and explained in full these abbreviations and highlighted them.

4. lower mediastinal ganglia (Page 10) - please use the term "lymph nodes". Ganglia - is an obsolete term.
   - We replaced this word in the manuscript.

5. anastomotic partner's (Page 11) - I think, "anastomosed organs" is much better.
   - We replaced this word in the manuscript.

6. vocal fold paresis (Page 13) - **vocal cord is right.**
   - We replaced this word in the manuscript.

7. the incidence of postoperative complications (open: 54.8% vs MIS: p = 0.155) (Page 14) - percentage for MIS is missed. open: 54.8% vs MIS: 41.1%P = 0.155
   - We added the missing number

8. significantly longer median DFS and better DFS (Page 15) - how to understand this??
   - We corrected that abbreviation.

9. thoracic time of the Ivor-Lewis technique (Page 16) - **thoracic phase** of the Ivor Lewis procedure is better.
   - We replaced this word the thoracic **phase** of the **Ivor Lewis** technique

10. Oringer (Page 17) - **Orringer** is right.
    - We corrected this name.

15(3): 425-442 [DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v15.i3.425] - how to understand this Reference before the Reference List???
- We corrected - this article is not a bibliographic reference, but an example of a similar article published in WJGO.

12. The authors used two different spellings: Ivor-Levis and Ivor Lewis. Please use the one throughout the text! In my opinion, the correct one is Ivor Lewis (given name and surname, not a double surname).
- We corrected this name.

Reviewer 05068435 - Thank you for your opinions and appreciations.

Well written review article. You have provided all the recent evidence and current trends in the management of cancer of the GE junction. I believe this article is worth publishing.

We would like to take the opportunity to express our sincere thanks to the editor and reviewers who identified areas of our manuscript that needed corrections and modifications. We would like also to thank you for allowing us to resubmit a revised copy of the manuscript.

We hope our revised version will be received favorably and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,

Birla Rodica MD, PhD

Constructorilor 9 G1 Ap18, Bucharest, 060502, Romania,