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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Introduction The references need to be cited with the relevant information. The aim of this review is not clear. In addition, it is interesting to explain in more details the pandemic of COVID-19.

Materials and Methods Which of Boolean operator did you use with these keywords? And, or parentheses?

Result The first time you use an abbreviation in the text, present both the spelled-out version and the short form. Please apply this for the whole text.

Discussion The 'Discussion' section, which forms a critical part of the manuscript, is poorly written and grossly inadequate; please do not re-state results. It is interesting to provide analysis of your results, proffer explanations for them, the implications of your findings, and comparison with similar previous studies.
PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 65862

Title: Covid-19 pandemic: Update on the reaction attitude of the spine societies and their members

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05333708

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MS

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: India

Author’s Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-24 01:41

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-28 03:51

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[ ] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ Y] Grade C: Good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language quality</td>
<td>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</td>
<td>[ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (High priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (General priority)</td>
<td>[ Y] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
<td>[ ] Rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-review</td>
<td>[ Y] Yes</td>
<td>[ ] No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-reviewer statements</td>
<td>Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The choice of topic is good as large data is available on managing spinal pathologies during the COVID-19 pandemic without stressing the limited manpower and resources at the same time addressing the emergency cases. Reviewing the data and provide useful points is a great task. However, the authors should elaborate the key findings on which various societies have consensus making the article more scientifically meaningful to the readers. There is a repetition of few points in the article which can be edited. The discussion and conclusion section can be rewritten with shuffling of points from the sections, omitting repetitions with better choices of words. The article is adding evidence to the literature.