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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Endometrial adenocarcinoma is the most common tumor of the female genital tract in developed countries, ranking fourth in incidence among invasive tumors in women. Atypical endometrial hyperplasia and endometrial carcinoma patients are diagnosed younger, and up to 22%-66% of atypical endometrial hyperplasia patients are infertile. In this manuscript, the authors reported a case of atypical endometrial hyperplasia in a 35-year-old woman. The case is very well described and discussed. After a minor revision, the manuscript can be accepted for publication. 1. The abstract should be revised according to the journal’s style, background, case report, conclusion. 2. The case report section is also should be updated. 3. The Magnification of the figure 3 should be reported in the figure legend.