



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15674

Title: Recent advances in systemic therapy for advanced pancreatic cancer: is there a light at the end of the tunnel?

Reviewer’s code: 02543905

Reviewer’s country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-04 10:50

Date reviewed: 2014-12-21 07:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I've been sincerely honoured to review the work from Dr Vaccaro and colleagues. The paper is a wide overview on a renewed issue, it is historically correct, complete and updated with a remarkable bibliographic search and very good exposition of each point. Of course some relevant papers have not been highlighted but i guess they hit the point. In my opinion the main relevant aspect of this paper is that it may be of a grat divulgative interest even amongst clinical and surgical oncologists not directly involved into pancreatic cancer treatment. Nonetheless the paper shows some weak points that may be improved together with the overall paper quality: a) Some language polishing may be helpful in more direct understanding of the issue b) same as for the first point, for the huge number of acronims used through the text c) Many sentences through the text contain repetitions, redundances and some may benefit from some shortening. d) A complete table resuming abbreviations used through the text could be of some help. e)Table 2 is really tough to read. maybe splitting it into two or three according to the protocol characteristics i.e. phase of the study or stage of disease, or type of treatment tested or whatsoever according to the authors preferences.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15674

Title: Recent advances in systemic therapy for advanced pancreatic cancer: is there a light at the end of the tunnel?

Reviewer's code: 02544549

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-04 10:50

Date reviewed: 2015-01-07 19:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors give a complete overview about the past, the present and the future of the chemotherapy strategies in PDAC patients. In my opinion the paper gives a useful tool to the clinicians handling PDCA patients. Summarizing some of the data in a couple of table would make the paper more fluid. Page 6 row 6 OS is duoblet. I appreciated the Authors effort in writing that work



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15674

Title: Recent advances in systemic therapy for advanced pancreatic cancer: is there a light at the end of the tunnel?

Reviewer's code: 01588983

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-04 10:50

Date reviewed: 2015-01-05 06:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is nothing to be revised.