Dear editor and reviewers:

Thank you very much for reviewing our manuscript entitled “Providing higher value care for hepatocellular carcinoma rather than diagnosis: what can current radiologists do?” (ID: 74799). Those comments are exceptionally inspiring and constructive for our work, as well as of great guiding significance to our further research. We have studied all comments carefully and have made correction which we hope to meet with approval. Below, I will detail how we revised our manuscript in order to address each of the comment in the original decision letter.

Response to editor:

Many thanks for your professional advices and giving us the precious opportunity of minor revision. We have followed the helpful comments and revised the manuscript carefully.

The English language of the manuscript has been further polished with a new language certificate attached. All issues raised in the manuscript are resolved, and point-by-point responses are provided as below.

We hope the responses can address your questions properly. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.
Response to comments of Reviewer #1

I am very grateful to your meaningful and professional comments for the manuscript. According to your comments, we have revised the manuscript. All the questions were answered below:

**Comment-1**

*It is a well-written letter about the crucial role of imaging in HCC therapeutic approach. However, the English language needs further polishing.*

**Author’s response:** Thank you so much for your careful check and professional comments. The English language has been further polished and the manuscript has been rechecked. Besides, a new language certificate has been attached in the uploaded documents (“74799-Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate-revision”). Thank you again for your careful scrutiny.

We hope the above responses can address your questions properly.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.
Response to comments of Reviewer # 2

I am very grateful to your meaningful and professional comments for the manuscript. The responses to your kind comments were as below:

Comment-1

This letter is a properly written contribution to the field. It helps contextualizing a recently published paper in the current context, in which artificial intelligence is poised to play a relevant role. This letter can be published in the WJG in my opinion. I have no particular comments.

Author’s response: Thank you so much for your profound insights and professional comments. We would like to express our most sincere gratitude for all the warm words and the constructive comments. They are extremely helpful for our work, and greatly encourage us. Express our sincere thanks to you again.

We hope the above responses can address your questions properly.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.