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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a retrospective study to compare the oncological radicality of urgent surgery for colon cancer in relation to elective cases. In the manuscript, it was observed that the percentage of patients aged 80 and over was higher in the urgency group, as well as the early mortality. Why protective ileostomy or urgent metal stenting did not be performed in the urgent group? More analysis should be added in the part of Discussion.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Thank you for allowing me to review this manuscript. Although there was no difference between the elective and urgency group concerning the longitudinal margin of resection, number of resected lymph nodes and percentage of surgeries with 12 or more resected lymph nodes. All urgent surgeries were opened, does the surgical approach affect the outcome? It is recommended to include long-term survival in the analysis.