



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10432

Title: Differentiation of True Anophthalmia From Clinic Anophthalmia Using The Neuroradiological Imaging: Clinical And Neuroradiological Analysis of Two Siblings With Bilateral Clinic Anophthalmia (Case Series)

Reviewer code: 02348457

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-02 21:56

Date reviewed: 2014-04-04 12:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are some grammar errors. Figure 2, do you have saggital post-contrast image to better show the hypo plastic optic nerve, or thin slice images?



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10432

Title: Differentiation of True Anophthalmia From Clinic Anophthalmia Using The Neuroradiological Imaging: Clinical And Neuroradiological Analysis of Two Siblings With Bilateral Clinic Anophthalmia (Case Series)

Reviewer code: 02348457

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-02 21:56

Date reviewed: 2014-04-04 12:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are some grammar errors. Figure 2, do you have saggital post-contrast image to better show the hypo plastic optic nerve, or thin slice images?



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10432

Title: Differentiation of True Anophthalmia From Clinic Anophthalmia Using The Neuroradiological Imaging: Clinical And Neuroradiological Analysis of Two Siblings With Bilateral Clinic Anophthalmia (Case Series)

Reviewer code: 00646593

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-02 21:56

Date reviewed: 2014-04-05 20:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well known condition already present in textbooks. Nothing new for the literature.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10432

Title: Differentiation of True Anophthalmia From Clinic Anophthalmia Using The Neuroradiological Imaging: Clinical And Neuroradiological Analysis of Two Siblings With Bilateral Clinic Anophthalmia (Case Series)

Reviewer code: 02635498

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-02 21:56

Date reviewed: 2014-04-23 09:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are numerous punctuation and grammar errors. The authors must explain and use the term of clinical anoptalmia, true anophtalmia and microptalmia appropriately. In the different parts, the authors used the different terms, and this is confusing. At the end of the introduction parts, a small paragraph which describes the aim of the manuscript could be added. Fig.3 must be changed. I could not observe the optic nerves in fig.3. Which part of the optic nerve is proximal? optic globe end? or chiasmatic end? Please check and correctly describe.