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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for asking me to review this manuscript. This is the observational study to explore the value of preoperative guidance and education for improving the effects of bowel cleaning in older adult patients undergoing colonoscopy. The result of the study is of interest and may help facilitate precision therapy for older adult patients with constipation undergoing colonoscopy. Overall, this study was well conducted with good methodology and intelligible English. The number of participants in the study is large enough. Furthermore, minor comment that I would to proposed: 1. Title: Proper and cover all the core result from the study. 2. Abstract: Address all of the important component from the study. 3. Key words: could cover this study. 4. Introduction: Describe the overall basic knowledge for this study. Moreover, the aim of the study is clear. 5. Method: The present study is methodologically well conducted. 6. Results: The result of this study is of interest. 7. Discussion: The manuscript clearly interprets the finding adequately and appropriately. In addition, the manuscript could highlight the key points clearly. The previous significant paper involved were included in the discussion, I suggest discussing more in this aspect in the discussion part. 8. Illustrations and tables: I congratulate the authors for the captions to the figures very explicative and complete.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Colonoscopy is the most important screening test for colon and anal diseases. At present, most of the informational literature and educational methods for intestinal preparation before colonoscopy are delivered orally. Some patients are not able to understand the requirements of intestinal preparation for their procedure, especially those older adult patients. Therefore, to improve the quality of bowel preparation in older adult patients, it must explore personalized and targeted methods for delivering guidance education. Therefore, the purpose of this present study was to discusses the value of special preoperative guidance and educational methods for older adult patients with constipation undergoing colonoscopy. The current study included 160 older adult patients with constipation scheduled for colonoscopy from January 2019 and March 2021. And they concluded that Preoperative special guidance and education were shown to significantly improve bowel clearance compliance and bowel clearance and reduce the incidence of adverse reactions in older adult patients with constipation undergoing colonoscopy. The methods of data analysis are very clear, and the results are presented well. The manuscript is written clearly and it's in acceptable form without any major changes.