

Editor's suggestion

1. You'd better offer us one paper with word format next (such as, .doc or .docx), which is easy to be edited and helpful to accept earlier. Thank you very much!

[Answer\) Sorry. I submitted the revised manuscript with word format.](#)

2. Please provide language certificate letter by professional English language editing companies (Classification of manuscript language quality evaluation is B). For manuscripts submitted by non-native speakers of English, please provided language certificate by professional English language editing companies mentioned in 'The Revision Policies of BPG for Article'.

[Answer\) I attached the language certificate letter below.](#)

3. Please add postcode!

[Answer\) I added and highlighted postcode.](#)

4. AIM: No more than 20 words, and start with "To..."

METHODS: no less than 80 words

RESULTS: no less than 120 words

CONCLUSION: no more than 26 words

[Answer\) I changed the abstract following your suggestion.](#)

5. Please check that there are no repeated references!

Please add PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors. Please revise throughout. The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI. PMID (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=PubMed>) DOI

(<http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>) (Please begin with DOI: 10.**)

Answer) I revised reference list following your suggestion.

6. Please provide the decomposable figure of Figures, whose parts are movable and can be edited.

So please put the original picture as word or ppt or excel format so that we can edit them easily.

Answer) I attached separated figures.

Reviewer #1

1. In "Core tip", please identify "ESD" in full terms.

Answer) I changed and highlighted it.

2. In "Core tip" (page 3) and "In conclusion" (page 9), the authors should avoid to use "the first time" or "the first study".

Answer) I deleted that expression.

3. Please update references, ex. Komatsu S et al., 2015 and Miyamae M et al., 2015.

Answer) I updated those references and highlighted them.

Reviewer #3

This paper shows that the amount of poorly differentiated tumor cells within mixed type early gastric cancer is correlated with the risk of lymph node metastasis. They emphasize the need for diligent histological characterization of patient specimens as conclusion. Their findings are interesting and useful for the future treatment of early gastric cancer. I just recommend one sentence be changed.

Page 8, rows 14-15, '....., suggesting that mixed-predominantly diffuse EGC should be as stringently managed as diffuse-type EGC' should be '....., suggesting that mixed-predominantly diffuse EGC should be more stringently managed than pure diffuse-type EGC'.

Answer) I changed and highlighted it.