Lian-Sheng Ma,

Company Editor-in-Chief, World Journal of Radiology

Dear Lian-Sheng Ma:

Thank you for your consideration of our manuscript, previously entitled "Diagnostic performance of two kinds of abbreviated gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR protocols with or without contrast-enhanced CT for the detection of colorectal liver metastases" (Manuscript NO: 79241). We are submitting herewith a revised version of our manuscript, along with the point-by-point responses to the comments provided by the reviewers. Thank you for your time and consideration, and we hope that our manuscript will now be suitable for publication in World Journal of Radiology.

Reviewer: This study revealed that the overall diagnostic performance of both Ab-MRI protocols 1 and 2 was non-inferior to that of the standard MRI protocol, and that of the combination of Ab-MRI and CE-CT was higher than that of Ab-MRI alone and similar to that of the standard MRI protocol. These findings indicate that Ab-MRI protocols could be a viable alternative to conventional MRI protocols for the evaluation of colorectal liver metastases, and that the parallel assessment with CE-CT was more useful. My questions are as following: 1. The study identified all patients with pathologically-proven CRC by surgical resection who had undergone gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and CE-CT for cancer staging during the initial work-up between October 2010 and April 2021. The title shows: Diagnostic performance of two kinds of abbreviated gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR protocols with or without contrast-enhanced
CT for the detection of colorectal liver metastases. “with or without contrast-enhanced CT” was not according with the fact. 2. In abstract method: All exams were independently reviewed by two readers in three reading sessions. In Table 3, and Table 4, it said Reader 1, 2, 3, 4. It is confused by the expression.

Response: 1. Title was changed as follow: Diagnostic performance of two kinds of abbreviated gadoxetic acid-enhanced MR protocols with contrast-enhanced CT for the detection of colorectal liver metastases.

2. We changed the expression in Abstract method: Each exam was independently reviewed by two readers in three reading sessions: (1) only ...............