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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Triple-rule-out computed tomography angiography (TRO CTA) is a comprehensive and feasible diagnostic tool in covid-19 patients who were admitted to the emergency department (ED) for acute chest pain, please explain clearly. Triple-rule-out computed tomography angiography (TRO CTA) is NOT described clearly, please explain how the authors use the CTA to diagnose of the covid-19 patients, why and how triple rule out CTA, what is the criteria to diagnoses of COVID-19, how to detect for COVID-19.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The elderly, especially those with DM, often have renal insufficiency and may not be suitable for CTA, so the results of renal function of 47 patients should be shown.
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