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The work submitted to me for review is interesting and contains a lot of useful clinical information. I have a few critical comments: 1. No information: what key did the authors use when selecting articles for discussion? 2. There is no information about the age of the patients from the studies analyzed in the article? 3. Were antibiotics used in the study groups and if so, why were antibiotics used: