Supplementary Table 1: Assessment of Complications Profile.

	Autologous Bone Graft		Bone Substitute		Risk			
Complication					Ratio P-		NNT/NNH	
Type	n	Events	n	Events	(95%	value	11111/1111111	
		(%)		(%)	CI)			
					0.83			
Infection	117	2 (1.71%)	167	4 (2.40%)	(0.21,	0.80	145 (NNT)	
					3.35)			
Secondary	171	63	198	98 (28.79%)	1.21	0.29	12 (NNH)	
collapse		(36.84%)			(0.85,			
conapse		(30.04 /0)			1.71)			
Any		65		61	1.18			
complication	171	(38.01%)	198	(30.81%)	(0.87,	0.28	14 (NNH)	
Complication	mpheadon	(30.0170)		(50.01 /0)	1.60)			

Abbreviations: *NNT = Number Needed to Treat; NNH = Number Needed to Harm; CI = Confidence Interval*.

Supplementary Table 2: Combined Outcome Score Analysis.

Outcome	Weigh	Autologou	Bone	Standardize	Weighted	
Componen		s Bone	Substitut	d Effect Size	Contributio	
t	ľ	Graft	e	u Effect Size	n	
Joint	25%	Higher by	Lower by	-0.17	-0.0425	
Depression	25 /6	0.17 mm	0.17 mm	-0.17		
Secondary	25%	36.84%	28.79%	-0.1665*	-0.0416	
Collapse	25 /0	30.04 /0	20.7970	-0.1003	-0.0410	
Operative	20%	Longer by	Shorter by	-0.1679**	-0.0336	
Time	20 /0	16.79 min	16.79 min	-0.10/9		
Blood Loss	20%	Higher by	Lower by	-0.7049**	-0.1410	
		70.49 ml	70.49 ml	-0.704)	-0.1410	
Infection	10%	1.71%	2.40%	0.1051*	0.0105	
Rate	10 /0	1.7 1 /0	2.40 /0	0.1051	0.0105	
Combined	100%		_	_	-0.2481	
Score	100 /0					

Abbreviations: *Standardized effect sizes represent the relative advantage of one treatment over the other, with negative values favoring bone substitute and positive values favoring autologous bone graft*.

Supplementary Table 3: Risk of Bias Assessment Using Cochrane RoB 2.0 Tool.

Study PERNAA	Randomizat ion Process	Deviation s from Intended Interventi ons	Missin g Outco me Data	Measurem ent of Outcomes	Selecti on of Report ed Results Some	Over all Risk of Bias
et al. (2011)	Some concerns	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	concer	High risk
JÖNSSO N et al. (2015)	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Some concer ns	High risk
HEIKKIL Ä et al. (2011)	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
RUSSELL et al. (2008)	Some	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Some concer ns	High risk
HOFMA NN et al. (2020)	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
An Ru Pan et al. (2021)	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Chen An Fu et al. (2020)	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk