Response to Reviewers

Reviewer’s comments 1: I read and reviewed your paper which is named "Expression of nucleus accumbens-1 in colon cancer negatively modulates antitumor immunity". It is good and acceptable study. You can find my comments below. For general: - Title is appropriate and reasonable. - The aims of the study were explained sufficiently and introduction section is appropriate but it is too long and I think it would be more appropriate to shorten the introduction of the manuscript. - There are some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. There are many sentences that are poor translation to English and needs to be revised by an expert or someone who is capable before the manuscript is published. You should check spelling rules and edit the grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. Please pay attention to lower and uppercase. - Number of references are very poor. You should expand. - Similarity is very high 35%. You should paraphrase it again.

Response: Thanks for the reviewer’s suggestion. I have shortened the introduction of the manuscript. My article has been revised by AJE. I have checked spelling rules and edited the grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. I have expanded the number of references.

Reviewer’s comments 2: Your article was very informative and seems like an original article. There were a few errors I found with the article and would like to share these with you: 1. Use of abbreviations in the article without explanation their full explanation. 2. Minor grammar errors throughout the article. 3. Graphs are too small and need to be enlarged, viewing of the graphs and tables was a bit difficult even after enlarging the document.

Response: Thanks for the reviewer’s suggestion. I have checked and revised the abbreviations in the article with explanation their full explanation. I have checked and revised grammar errors throughout the article and it has been be revised by AJE. Graphs has been enlarged.