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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Authors, you presented a nice-written manuscript with an important message to report. Please pay attention to the following questions and queries:

1. Background: please rephrase: “which completely relieved spontaneously” as such: “which completely resolved spontaneously”.
2. Case Presentation: please rephrase: “Intraoperative examination of all abdominal and pelvic organs did not see any additional lesions” us such: “Intraoperative examination of all abdominal and pelvic organs did not show any additional lesions”.
3. Discussion: please rephrase: “and it is often usually asymptomatic” us such: “and it is usually asymptomatic”.
4. Discussion: please rephrase: “To date, no uniform standard has been made” us such: “To date, no uniform standard exists”.
5. Discussion: please rephrase: “For patients with fertility requirements, the main strategy was tumor removal” us such: “For patients with fertility requirements, the main strategy is tumor removal”.
6. Please explain why you operatively preserved the right ovary. Was that because of the young age of your patient in order to avoid postoperative hormonal substitution?
7. Please become more specific: Did you remove the left ovarian mass with left adnexectomy or did you preserve the left adnex?
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I have reviewed the manuscript and the comments are as below:

1. The manuscript requires a great deal of language polishing.
2. Please provide a detailed breakdown of the investigations ordered and a detailed description of the lab results. Also provide a detailed breakdown of cytological examination results.
3. If possible a table with relevant lab values would do good.
4. If possible add a diagram depicting changes in relevant labs which reduced post surgery such as CEA 125 and other indicators?
5. You mention that after surgery all tumor markers and indicators returned back to normal. Can you please mention the timeframe it took post surgery for these values to return back to normal? or was it after a year at follow up.
6. You mention that 14 similar cases have been reported in literature. Maybe provide a few lines describing similarities in your case to the ones previously reported. This may help set a baseline consistency in terms of a clinical presentation and clinical approach.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Authors, thank you for providing comprehensive and convincing answers to my questions and queries and accordingly revised your manuscript. Best Regards