

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wignet.com http://www.wignet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31681

Title: Is a split-dose regimen of 2 L polyethylene glycol plus ascorbic acid tolerable for

an early morning visit to a comprehensive medical check-up?

Reviewer's code: 00047094

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-12-06 11:03

Date reviewed: 2016-12-06 11:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[Y] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y]No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Recommend using a different term than "health check up center" 2. Recommend changing the efficacy to effectiveness. 3. A randomized study would have been more powerful. 4. How did the authors come with the total number of patients in each arm? Did they do a power analysis? 5. The title of the paper needs to be modified. 6. Need to explain ehy they used ascorbic acid.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31681

Title: Is a split-dose regimen of 2 L polyethylene glycol plus ascorbic acid tolerable for

an early morning visit to a comprehensive medical check-up?

Reviewer's code: 00057868

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-12-06 11:03

Date reviewed: 2016-12-11 21:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[Y] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The intent of the study seems reasonable. The study design is acceptable but a prospective randomized trial would have given the study greater strength. The authors should explain the rationale of the addition of the ascorbic acid to the bowel preparation protocol. The manuscript is well organized.