



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20660

Title: Evidence to suggest adoption of water exchange deserves broader consideration: Its pain alleviating impact occurs in 90% of investigators

Reviewer's code: 00043117

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-06-29 16:35

Date reviewed: 2015-07-30 17:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Authors have published a very similar manuscript at the CGH, May 2015. From the results and conclusion of this manuscript, we're not able to get new idea than the previous publication. 2. How the patients were randomized? 3. At table 5, it is not reasonable that all the patients were unsedated, there should be a group of patients received the midazolam for sedation. More interesting and important question is that how is the proportion that patient could omit the sedation. 4. In addition to the maximal real time pain in insertion, readers would also like to know the post-CFS (recovery stage) discomfort? Is there any difference among these procedures?



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20660

Title: Evidence to suggest adoption of water exchange deserves broader consideration: its pain alleviating impact occurs in 90% of investigators

Reviewer's code: 02554592

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-06-29 16:35

Date reviewed: 2015-07-12 20:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article about "Evidence to suggest adoption of water exchange deserves broader consideration: its pain alleviating impact occurs in 90% of investigators" described the difference of real-time maximum insertion pain among WE, WI and AICD in clinical practice. We think it is useful to analyze the pain level in different medias and methods while colonoscopy in order to reduce the suffering of patient. It is a meaningful research in clinical practice. There was a logical design in methods and credible results. We still some suggestion about this paper despite your good writing. The English needs polishing especially in some detail. In discussion part, the describe about the reason of inconsistent results in group No 8 should be simplified. The analysis the difference of pain among WE, WI and AICD should more detailed.