



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

---

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 2123

**Title:** Diagnostic accuracy of a new point-of-care screening assay for celiac disease

**Reviewer code:** 01293353

**Science editor:** x.x.song@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-30 15:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-02-19 20:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is a nice study to examine the accuracy of newly designed CD-LFIA text, and the results are interesting. It is better to give a clear description of the patients who have been received the tests, and present their basic characteristics. DQ2 and DQ8 genes were examined in this study, as shown in the method section, but nothing showed in result section. and what is the aim of study of these genes?



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

---

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 2123

**Title:** Diagnostic accuracy of a new point-of-care screening assay for celiac disease

**Reviewer code:** 02441247

**Science editor:** x.x.song@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-30 15:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-02-22 17:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS

#### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Similar paper has been published in E.J. of Gastroenterology and Hepatology with very similar results and conclusions i.e. deamidated gliadin peptides are very useful in point-of-care test diagnosis. It seems that both papers has been solicited from the same company (Augurix SA. This does not necessarily means that papaer should not been published.



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Ms:** 2123

**Title:** Diagnostic accuracy of a new point-of-care screening assay for celiac disease

**Reviewer code:** 00159281

**Science editor:** x.x.song@wjgnet.com

**Date sent for review:** 2013-01-30 15:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-02-24 13:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E (Poor)		[ ] Existed	[ Y] Major revision
		[ ] No records	

## COMMENTS

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is an interesting paper but the following comments should be revising: Abstract: 1-The length of this section is irregular and did not follow the journal instruction. 2- In method section please clearly mention the DGP 3- Also in this section the authors did not mention any info regarding the IgG and IgA. Introduction: 1- Page 6 last paragraph: don't need mention the company name everywhere in the paper. Just mention it in the method section. Result: 1- Please talk more regarding HLA typing in the study population. 2- The tables are some confusing and the authors may match these two tables and present one suitable table. Discussion: 1- The authors did not show any result regarding these 8 new CD were typical or atypical to compare the sensitivity and specificity of new test. 2- In my opinion one of the big problems of this test is that we cannot distinguish IgA from IgG positive and therefore these false positive/negative may resulted from this. 3- As the sample size was very low we cannot suggest this new test in screening of a large scale high-risk population. 3- The authors should discuss the following sentence: As the result showed this test is suitable only for diagnosis new celiac cases. In my opinion this paper can be published as short communication/ brief report after adjust the reviewers comments.