Re: Submission of a Revised manuscript to the World Journal of Psychiatry

Dear Editors and Editorial Committee,

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our invited review (Manuscript number: 76086)

We would be grateful for the consideration of our revised manuscript “Underlying disease may increase mortality risk in users of atypical antipsychotics” (by Zhipeng Li, Yushun You, Jundong Wang and Lianping He) for publication in the World Journal of Psychiatry. The authors have read and complied with author guidelines, and they all have seen and approved this manuscript for publication. None of the authors had a conflict of interest to disclose concerning this manuscript.

We are grateful to the Editors for their precious contributions and comments. We have revised our manuscript accordingly for grammar, style, structure and we hope that you will now find it suitable for publication in the World Journal of Gastroenterology. In case of final acceptance, we agree to make this manuscript open-access.

The changes in the manuscript are identified in track change mode. Below you can find a point-by-point reply to the reviewers. We used red to denote revised or inserted text.

Thank you for your precious time.

We are looking forward to receiving your decision in due time.

Corresponding Author:

Lianping He, PhD, Teacher, School of medicine, Taizhou university, No. 1139, Shifu Avenue, Jiaojiang District, Taizhou 318000, Zhejiang, China.
lianpinghe@tzc.edu.cn
Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: it is an interesting review. Better description on the characteristics between schizophrenia phenotypes are needed. The author includes also first episode of psychosis cases?
Response:
Thank you for acknowledging the content of our article and providing valuable review comments. We have added references in the second paragraph of this article to increase the definition of schizophrenia: Marder SR and Cannon TD. Schizophrenia. The New England journal of medicine 2019; 381: 1753-1761 [PMID: 31665579 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1808803].
We do not include first episode of psychosis cases.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: The sentence "Type I schizophrenia and type II schizophrenia are mainly negative symptoms, and type II schizophrenia are mainly negative symptoms." is strange. The author thinks it needs to be revised.
Response:
Thank you for acknowledging the content of our article and providing valuable review comments. After reading it carefully, we found that there are some errors in the statement of our article, and now we will take your suggestion into consideration and correct the statement of the article. We change "Type I schizophrenia and type II schizophrenia are mainly negative
Symptoms, and type II schizophrenia are mainly negative symptoms." to "Type I schizophrenia is mainly positive symptoms, type II schizophrenia is mainly negative symptoms”

Science editor:
This manuscript is a Letter to the Editor commented on Associated mortality risk of atypical antipsychotic medication in individuals with dementia published in World J Psychiatry; it is recommended to better characterize between schizophrenia phenotypes.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Response:
Thank science editor for acknowledging the content of our article and providing valuable review comments. We have added references in the second paragraph of this article to increase the definition of schizophrenia.


Company editor-in-chief:
I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Psychiatry, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.
Response:
Thank company editor-in-chief for acknowledging the content of our article.
and providing valuable review comments.