



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Rheumatology*

Manuscript NO: 101278

Title: Synovitis, Acne, Pustulosis, Hyperostosis, and Osteitis Syndrome as a cause of Pneumothorax: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 08155550

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Uganda

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: Shang Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-11-05 20:51

Reviewer performed review: 2024-11-05 21:35

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good teaching case, i have enjoyed reading it. It is good that your team figured it out so fast and instituted treatment as soon as possible. However, case report can be made a bit better. 1. I have not seen a list of your key words. I would recommend SAPHO syndrome, Pneumothorax, Case report 2. Instead of writing 'Dear Editor' at the start, you could use 'Introduction' because what you are writing about in those first paragraphs is an introduction to the case. 3. The entire manuscript is the case report, according to my understanding. So instead of using 'Case report', you can use case presentation. 4. The opening statement to your case presentation is really lacking. You could re-phrase like; 44 year old male, known hypertensive for 10years on....., also known with SAPHO syndrome, which was managed with methotrexate for one year. He had been off methotrexate for 2years. He presented with..... This is because you are not diagnosing the SAPHO syndrome now, it was already there. Only tell us the symptoms he came with this time round. 5. What were the examination findings for such a patient who came in with anterior chest wall pain and dyspnea? Was the chest tender to palpation, what was the oxygen saturation, how was the chest



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

percussion, how was the air entry in the chest?? In other wards, on examination, what features pointed to your team that this patient had a pneumothorax? It would be important for readers to know how you came to that conclusion 6. You could put a heading before the paragraph that begins with 'The occurrence of pulmonary.....' For example, 'Case Discussion' 7. In your discussion, could you try to explain what could cause pneumothorax in a patient with SAPHO syndrome. 8. On the images, could you use arrows and point to what you want people to see? for example the pneumothorax on the chest CT scan 9. Could you please add DOI links to your references so that they are easily accessible to other readers as well? I could not access reference 4, so maybe if you put the DOI link it would be easier

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Rheumatology*

Manuscript NO: 101278

Title: Synovitis, Acne, Pustulosis, Hyperostosis, and Osteitis Syndrome as a cause of Pneumothorax: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 08155550

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Uganda

Author's Country/Territory: China



Manuscript submission date: 2024-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: Huo Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-12-16 05:11

Reviewer performed review: 2024-12-16 05:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you so much authors for those revisions. You did a great job.