102326_Revision_Auto_Edited.docx

WORD COUNT	3347	TIME SUBMITTED	10-DEC-2024 03:41PM
		PAPER ID	113441183

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 102326

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEWS

Integration of Rehabilitation and Palliative Care in Cancer Management: A Futuristic Model

Rehab and palliative care integration model

Abstract

It explores the integration of rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer management, advocating for a holistic approach that addresses the diverse needs of patients throughout their treatment journey. Traditional cancer care often prioritizes curative interventions at the expense of overall well-being, leading to a fragmented experience for patients. By combining rehabilitation—focused on restoring function and improving physical health—with palliative care—emphasizing symptom management and quality of life—healthcare providers can create a comprehensive support system. The essay highlights the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare professionals, as well as the need for education and training to implement this integrated model effectively. Additionally, it addresses potential barriers such as funding limitations and institutional resistance. Ultimately, the integration of these two disciplines represents a critical evolution in cancer care, enhancing patient outcomes and ensuring that individuals receive compassionate, patient-centered support throughout their journey.

Key Words: Cancer rehabilitation; Palliative care; Rehabilitation; Palliative medicine; Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Core Tip: Integrating rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer management is essential for providing holistic support to patients. This approach addresses both physical and emotional needs, improving overall quality of life. Effective interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare professionals is crucial for developing personalized care plans. Training and education are necessary to empower providers to advocate for comprehensive care. Additionally, overcoming barriers such as funding limitations and institutional resistance is vital for implementing this integrated model. Ultimately, prioritizing the whole person in cancer care enhances patient outcomes and ensures compassionate support throughout the treatment journey.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with over 19 million new cases and nearly 10 million deaths reported annually.[1] Beyond the physical burden of the disease, a significant proportion of cancer patients experience debilitating symptoms such as pain (reported by 30-50% of patients undergoing treatment), anxiety (affecting up to 40%), and depression (prevalent in approximately 25%).[2] These symptoms profoundly affect patients' quality of life, often compounding the stress of treatment and recovery.

Traditionally, cancer care has been fragmented, focusing primarily on curative treatments like surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy while often neglecting the broader psychosocial, emotional, and functional needs of patients. This oversight has highlighted a critical gap in holistic care. Consequently, there is a growing recognition of the necessity to integrate rehabilitation and palliative care into cancer management. Rehabilitation addresses functional impairments and psychosocial challenges, while palliative care provides relief from pain and distressing symptoms, offering comprehensive support for patients and their families.

By combining these disciplines, we can develop an innovative, patient-centered model that not only improves clinical outcomes but also significantly enhances the quality of life, ensuring that patients receive continuous, personalized care throughout their cancer journey.[3]

NEED OF INTEGRATION:

The conventional model of cancer care often prioritizes aggressive treatment strategies aimed at eradicating the disease, frequently at the expense of the patient's overall well-being. Many patients experience physical, emotional, and psychosocial challenges as a result of their diagnosis and treatment. Symptoms such as pain, fatigue, anxiety, and depression can significantly diminish quality of life, even as patients undergo curative interventions.

Rehabilitation, which focuses on restoring function and improving physical well-being, and palliative care, which emphasizes symptom management and quality of life, are both critical components of comprehensive cancer care.[4, 5] In Dietz cancer rehabilitation model, palliative care is an important component.[5] However, these services are frequently provided in silos, leading to a disjointed patient experience. Integrating rehabilitation and palliative care can address this gap by providing a more holistic approach that supports patients in managing symptoms and enhancing their quality of life throughout their treatment journey.

Integrating rehabilitation and palliative care into cancer management is crucial for enhancing the overall quality of life for patients. Here are several key reasons for this integration:

1. Comprehensive patient support: Rehabilitation focuses on improving physical function, while palliative care addresses symptom management and emotional support. Together, they provide holistic care that meets the diverse needs of cancer patients.

2. Symptom management: Cancer and its treatments often lead to a range of physical and psychological symptoms. Palliative care specialists can help manage pain, nausea, fatigue, and emotional distress, while rehabilitation can help patients regain strength and mobility.

3. Improved quality of life: By addressing both physical and emotional challenges, integrated care can enhance patients' overall well-being, allowing them to maintain independence and engage more fully in daily activities.

4. Patient-centered care: This approach allows for individualized treatment plans that consider the patient's goals, preferences, and values, promoting shared decision-making between healthcare providers and patients.

5. Early intervention: Integrating these services from the onset of treatment can help identify and address issues before they become severe, potentially reducing complications and improving outcomes.

6. Support for families: Both rehabilitation and palliative care provide resources and support for family members, helping them cope with the challenges of caregiving and enhancing the overall support system for the patient.

7. Facilitating transitions in care: As the disease progresses, the focus of care may shift. An integrated approach ensures smooth transitions, whether moving from curative to palliative care or between different care settings.

8. Research and evidence-based practices: Increased integration encourages the development of research and evidence-based practices that can further enhance the effectiveness of both rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer treatment.

Overall, the integration of rehabilitation and palliative care within cancer management is vital for providing comprehensive, compassionate, and effective care that addresses the multifaceted needs of patients throughout their cancer journey. Currently patients need to go to multiple departments for getting relevant care and even awareness is also less. An integrated care would curtail this issue and would be acceptable by patient and his/her caregivers.

METHODS OF INTEGRATION:

Integrating rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer management involves various methods and strategies to ensure comprehensive support for patients. Here are some effective approaches: [6, 7, 8, 9]

1. Multidisciplinary teams: Forming teams that include oncologists, rehabilitation specialists, palliative care providers, nurses, social workers, and mental health professionals fosters collaboration and comprehensive care planning.

a) Oncologists are the primary decision-makers for cancer treatment, assess the need for supportive services, and initiate referrals. There responsibilities would be: To develop and adjust treatment plans based on disease progression, to communicate prognosis and align care goals with the patient, to collaborate with palliative care and rehabilitation teams to address secondary health concerns.

b) Rehabilitation Medicine specialists (Physiatrists) are the primary doctors for rehabilitation aspects. Their responsibilities are: To provide tailored exercise and mobility programs, to address lymphedema, neuropathy, and musculoskeletal issues, to educate patients on self-management techniques and pain managements.

c) Palliative Medicine specialists are the primary doctors for palliative care aspects. There responsibilities are to focus on symptom relief, quality of life, and psychological support, to offer emotional and spiritual support, to facilitate advanced care planning and end-of-life discussions.

d) Nurses (Oncology, rehabilitation and palliative) serve as the primary patient interface, providing hands-on care and education. There responsibilities are to monitor symptoms and administer medications, to educate patients and families on treatment side effects and symptom management, to coordinate with other team members for timely interventions.

e) Social workers address psychosocial, financial, and logistical barriers to care. They provide counseling for patients and families, assist with accessing financial resources and navigating insurance and facilitate connections to community support services.

f) Mental health professionals: Address mental health issues like depression, anxiety, and others. Psychiatrists as doctors are the primary doctors in this section and psychologists (non-doctors) would associate with them. Ther conduct assessments and provide therapy (individual or group), manage medication for psychiatric conditions, if needed, and support caregivers experiencing emotional distress.

g) Physiotherapist and Occupational therapists: They would work under the guidance of Rehabilitation specialists (doctor). Physiotherapist would take care the exercises part which was directed/advised by physiatrists. Occupational therapist would take care the vocational aspects and other related aspects where occupational therapy would be essential.

h) Orthotist and prosthetics: It would be supervised by Rehabilitation specialists (doctor) and orthotic-prosthetic workshop would be carried out by Orthotist and prosthetics. Advices of patients and check-outs would be done by Physiatrists.

2. Early assessment and intervention: Implementing early evaluations to assess functional status, symptom burden, and psychosocial needs allows for timely interventions that can improve quality of life from the beginning of treatment.

3. Shared care pathways: Developing shared protocols and care pathways that outline how rehabilitation and palliative care services will be integrated throughout the cancer treatment continuum ensures coordinated efforts and clear communication among providers.

Key operational practices include:

a) **Early assessment and stratification**: Oncology teams conduct baseline assessments to identify patients requiring rehabilitation or palliative care.

b) **Coordinated Care Plans**: Each patient's care plan incorporates input from oncologists, rehabilitation specialists, and palliative care providers, ensuring seamless transitions between curative, rehabilitative, and supportive care.

c) **Regular Interdisciplinary Meetings**: Weekly or bi-weekly team meetings facilitate communication and case reviews, ensuring alignment on patient goals and care adjustments.

d) **Technology for Integration**: Electronic health records (EHRs) with shared access allow all providers to monitor patient progress, document interventions, and flag emerging needs.

e) **Patient Navigation Systems**: Dedicated care navigators ensure patients and families understand their care trajectory and assist with scheduling appointments and addressing logistical challenges.

f) **Artificial intelligence (AI)**: AI provides predictive analytics for disease progression and treatment outcomes. AI-enabled motion tracking and analytics help rehabilitation therapists design precise and adaptive exercise plans, monitoring patient progress remotely. It would streamline workflows by automating administrative tasks and reducing wait times for services.

4. Individualized care plans: Creating personalized care plans that address both rehabilitation and palliative care goals can help tailor interventions to each patient's specific needs, preferences, and disease stage.

5. Education and training: Providing education and training for healthcare providers on the roles and benefits of both rehabilitation and palliative care can enhance understanding and improve integration efforts.

6. Patient and family involvement: Actively involving patients and their families in care discussions and decision-making helps align treatment with their values and preferences, fostering a sense of control and support.

7. Regular team meetings: Scheduling regular interdisciplinary meetings to discuss patient progress, challenges, and care adjustments encourages ongoing collaboration and communication among team members.

8. Symptom management protocols: Establishing protocols for managing common symptoms (*e.g.*, pain, fatigue, nausea) that incorporate both rehabilitation and palliative approaches can improve patient comfort and functionality.

9. Telehealth services: Utilizing telehealth can facilitate access to both rehabilitation and palliative care services, particularly for patients in remote areas or those with mobility challenges.

10. Research and quality improvement: Engaging in research and quality improvement initiatives focused on integrated care can help identify best practices, measure outcomes, and refine care models over time.

By employing these methods, healthcare providers can effectively integrate rehabilitation and palliative care, enhancing the overall cancer management experience for patients and their families.

KEY AREAS:

Holistic Patient Care: At the core of the integrated model is the principle of holistic care. This approach acknowledges that cancer affects the whole person, not just their physical health. By integrating rehabilitation and palliative care, healthcare providers

can offer a continuum of support that addresses physical symptoms, emotional distress, and social concerns.

For instance, rehabilitation can help patients regain strength and mobility after surgery or chemotherapy, while palliative care can manage pain and alleviate anxiety related to the disease. This comprehensive care model not only improves physical outcomes but also promotes emotional resilience, empowering patients to engage actively in their treatment and recovery.[6]

Interdisciplinary collaboration: Successful integration of rehabilitation and palliative care hinges on effective interdisciplinary collaboration. Oncologists, rehabilitation specialists, palliative care providers, nurses, and social workers must work together to create personalized care plans that reflect the unique needs and preferences of each patient.

Such collaboration can lead to improved communication among team members, facilitating a more coordinated approach to patient care. For example, an oncologist may refer a patient to a rehabilitation specialist to address physical limitations, while simultaneously involving a palliative care team to manage pain and support emotional well-being. By fostering a culture of collaboration, healthcare teams can enhance patient satisfaction and overall outcomes.[7]

Education and training: To implement this integrated model successfully, education and training for healthcare professionals are essential. Many providers may lack awareness of the full scope of rehabilitation and palliative care, or may feel uncertain about how to incorporate these services into their practice.

Training programs that emphasize the importance of holistic care, symptom management, and interdisciplinary collaboration can empower clinicians to advocate for their patients more effectively. By cultivating a workforce that is knowledgeable about both rehabilitation and palliative care, we can create a more patient-centered healthcare system that prioritizes quality of life.

Overcoming Barriers: While the vision for an integrated model is promising, there are barriers that must be addressed to make it a reality. These include funding

limitations, institutional resistance to change, and disparities in access to services. For instance, many healthcare systems operate under strict budgets that may prioritize curative treatments over supportive care, making it difficult to allocate resources for integrated services.

To overcome these challenges, stakeholders—including healthcare providers, policymakers, and patient advocates—must work together to advocate for policy changes that support integrated care. This may involve demonstrating the cost-effectiveness of holistic approaches, as well as raising awareness of the importance of quality of life in cancer management.

FUTURISTIC MODEL:

A futuristic model for integrating rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer management could involve several innovative components designed to enhance patient care and outcomes. Here's a vision for such a model: [10, 11, 12, 13]

1. Holistic digital health ecosystem:

a) Telehealth integration: Use telehealth platforms for continuous monitoring, remote consultations, and virtual rehabilitation sessions, making care accessible and convenient.[14]

b) Mobile health apps: Develop apps that track symptoms, physical activity, and emotional well-being, enabling patients to self-manage and share data with their care team.[15, 16]

2. Personalized care plans:

a) AI-driven assessments: Utilize artificial intelligence to analyze patient data and provide personalized rehabilitation and palliative care recommendations based on individual needs and preferences.[17]

b) Dynamic care adjustments: Implement systems that allow care plans to be regularly updated based on real-time patient feedback and health changes.

3. Interdisciplinary collaboration

a) Integrated care teams: Foster collaboration among diverse specialists (oncologists, rehabilitation therapists, palliative care providers, nutritionists, psychologists) who work together from the diagnosis stage onward.

b) Case managers: Assign dedicated case managers to coordinate care, facilitate communication, and support patients and families throughout their journey.

4. Patient empowerment and education:

a) Shared decision-making tools: Provide tools and resources that encourage patients and families to engage in decision-making about their care, fostering a sense of ownership and empowerment.

b) Educational workshops: Offer regular workshops focused on self-management strategies, coping mechanisms, and understanding rehabilitation and palliative care options.

5. Community and social support:

a) Support networks: Establish peer support programs that connect patients with others facing similar challenges, promoting emotional support and shared experiences.

b) Family involvement: Develop resources and training for families to better understand their roles in care, enhancing their ability to support patients.

6. Focus on quality of life metrics:

a) Holistic outcome measures: Shift from solely clinical outcomes to a broader set of quality-of-life metrics that include physical, emotional, social, and spiritual well-being.

b) Patient-reported outcomes: Regularly collect and analyze patient-reported outcomes to guide treatment adjustments and improve care delivery.

7. Research and Innovation:

a) Continuous learning environment: Create a framework for ongoing research that evaluates integrated care models, leading to innovations in practice and improved patient outcomes.

b) Partnerships with technology firms: Collaborate with tech companies to develop new tools and technologies that support integrated care approaches.

8. Policy and Advocacy: [18]

a) Funding and resource allocation

Obstacle: Limited healthcare budgets often prioritize curative treatments, leaving rehabilitation and palliative care underfunded. Disparities in access are more pronounced in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

Policy Recommendations:

i) Increased Funding: Advocate for allocating a fixed percentage of national healthcare budgets to supportive care services, emphasizing their cost-effectiveness in reducing hospital readmissions and improving quality of life.

ii) Global Partnerships: Encourage international collaborations (*e.g.*, with WHO, NGOs) to fund pilot programs and capacity building in LMICs.

iii) Reimbursement Models: Work with insurance providers to establish reimbursement codes for rehabilitation and palliative care services, incentivizing their provision.

b) Workforce shortages

Obstacle: Insufficient trained professionals in rehabilitation and palliative care, especially in rural or underserved areas.

Policy recommendations:

i) Education and training: Mandate integration of palliative and rehabilitation care into medical, nursing, and allied health curricula.

ii) Task shifting: Enable trained community health workers to deliver basic supportive care services, especially in LMICs.

iii) Incentives for rural practice: Offer financial incentives, scholarships, or loan forgiveness programs to professionals who work in underserved regions.

c) Cultural and perceptual barriers

Obstacle: Misconceptions about palliative care being synonymous with end-of-life care and rehabilitation being non-essential and 'only exercises' need to be addressed. Stigma exists surrounding terminal illness discussions.

Policy recommendations:

i) Public awareness campaigns: Develop nationwide campaigns emphasizing the role of rehabilitation and palliative care in improving quality of life from diagnosis onward.

ii) Community engagement: Partner with local leaders, religious figures, and advocacy groups to culturally contextualize care discussions.

iii) Legal Protections: Pass policies ensuring that discussions about palliative care and advance directives are standard parts of cancer care, protecting patients' rights.

d) System fragmentation

Obstacle: Lack of coordination among oncologists, rehabilitation specialists, and palliative care providers leads to fragmented care.

Policy Recommendations:

i) Integrated care models: Mandate the creation of multidisciplinary teams in all oncology centers, with clear referral pathways between specialties.

ii) Shared digital platforms: Require interoperable electronic health record (EHR) systems to facilitate communication among team members.

iii) Accountability metrics: Establish national benchmarks for the integration of rehabilitation and palliative care, tying compliance to hospital accreditation.

e) Policy and regulatory frameworks

Obstacle: Integrated care pathways are limited. Regulatory barriers, such as restrictive opioid laws, hinder effective palliative care.

Policy Recommendations:

i) National cancer plans: Require that all cancer care strategies include provisions for rehabilitation and palliative care, with measurable implementation targets.

ii) Opioid accessibility: Simplify regulatory frameworks to ensure availability and safe prescribing of pain management medications, balancing public health concerns with patient needs.

iii) Data collection: Mandate nationwide registries for supportive care outcomes, enabling policymakers to track effectiveness and refine policies

f) Disparities in Access

Obstacle: Geographic and socioeconomic disparities limit access to integrated care in rural or marginalized communities.

Policy Recommendations:

i) Telemedicine expansion: Subsidize telehealth platforms to deliver rehabilitation and palliative care remotely.

ii) Mobile clinics: Establish mobile care units staffed by interdisciplinary teams to reach remote areas.

iii) Community-based models: Partner with local organizations to create community-focused care hubs.

g) Global advocacy opportunities: World Health Organization's collaboration and tie supportive care policies to the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals both can enlighten the global policy making.

h) Implementation Roadmap:

i) Pilot programs: Initiate pilot projects in diverse regions to test integrated care models.

ii) Stakeholder engagement: Involve patients, healthcare professionals, policymakers, and community leaders in policy design.

iii) Scalable policies: Use successful pilot programs to inform national and international policy frameworks.

iv) Continuous monitoring: Establish feedback loops to refine policies based on real-world outcomes.

This futuristic model emphasizes patient-centered, technology-driven, and collaborative approaches, aiming to improve the overall experience and outcomes for cancer patients by addressing their complex needs in a holistic manner.

CONCLUSION

The integration of rehabilitation and palliative care into cancer management represents a crucial evolution in the way we approach patient care. By acknowledging the diverse needs of cancer patients and providing a comprehensive, interdisciplinary framework,

we can enhance quality of life, improve patient outcomes, and support individuals and their families through one of life's most challenging journeys. As we move toward this futuristic model, ongoing research, education, and collaboration will be essential in shaping a healthcare system that truly prioritizes the whole person, ensuring that every patient receives the compassionate, holistic care they deserve. Future studies like randomized trial are needed to check the effectiveness of such integration in different country settings.

102326_Revision_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.frontiersin.org Internet	19 words — 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON	EXCLUDE SOURCES	< 12 WORDS
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON	EXCLUDE MATCHES	< 12 WORDS