



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 95404

Title: Obesity - Surgery is not the end

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05372567

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-04-09

Reviewer chosen by: Hong-Xin Jiang

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-08-19 12:06

Reviewer performed review: 2024-08-19 12:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting and cutting-edge editorial titled "Obesity - Surgery is not the end". In this editorial, Jiao et al. reviewed Katherine M Cooper, Alessandro Colletta, Nicholas Hebda, and Deepika Devuni published in the recent issue of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery 2024; 16(3): 650-657, which focused on the issue of AUD after bariatric surgery. The manuscript is well done and focuses on the current status and potential future focus of AUD after bariatric surgery. The authors believe that there is limited research on bariatric surgery and postoperative AUD in Asian populations, leaving ample room for further research. In addition, the authors believe that postoperative follow-up and dietary management are crucial. Multi-system integrated management approach is the future direction for the treatment of obesity. For the manuscript, I also want to understand the focus of the mechanism of AUD after bariatric surgery. Because, as the author mentioned, the author believes that postoperative follow-up and dietary management are crucial, and drug intervention for AUD after bariatric surgery is one of the important and potentially feasible treatment measures. Based on this, it seems that the methodological issues of the treatment cannot be well



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

verified without adding sufficient mechanistic discussion. Can the authors briefly add a discussion on the mechanism of AUD after bariatric surgery? In addition, the manuscript has a very interesting title, but Figure 1 seems to lack a sufficiently attractive figure. Can the authors consider adding a figure to attract readers? In summary, this is an interesting manuscript that basically meets the requirements. I sincerely think that this manuscript can be accepted after revision. [1] King, W. C., Chen, J. Y., Mitchell, J. E., Kalarchian, M. A., Steffen, K. J., Engel, S. G., ... & Yanovski, S. Z. (2012). Prevalence of alcohol use disorders before and after bariatric surgery. *Jama*, 307(23), 2516-2525.