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Dear Editor:

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: Manuscript No. 74669.doc).
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The manuscript has been improved according to the comments made by the reviewers:
1. The format has been updated.
2. Revisions have been made according to the suggestions of the reviewers:
   Answer to Reviewer 01221789:
   Thank you very much for your comments.

   Answer to Reviewer 06235235:
   (1) Q: "The patient was uneventfully discharged, and follow-up 2 wk later showed no evidence of rebleeding." modify 2 wk as two weeks.
   A: We thank the reviewer for the suggestion on changing of abbreviations. We have consulted the BPG that the time-terms maintain abbreviated presentation when they follow a number which must be presented as arabic numerals must stay intact. So we haven’t modify the expression (Para. 2, Page 3).

   (2) Q: "blood pressure was 147/105 mmHg". Was BP measured with manual cuffs or electronic cuffs at the time of presentation?
   A: We thank the reviewer for the comment concerning different measurements of blood pressure. Actually, the blood pressure of this patient was measured with electronic cuff The detailed revision can be found in the revised manuscript (Para. 2, Page 5).

   (3) Q: First paragraph of Discussion seems repetitive with Introduction portion.
   A: The reviewer’s comments on the first paragraph of Discussion are important. We have deleted parts of repetitive expression and added some contents about lower gastrointestinal bleeding and appendiceal bleeding in the revised manuscript (Para. 3, Page 6).

   (4) Q: There were only six prior cases of appendiceal bleeding as per your literature review. Are seven cases (including yours) sufficient to say that "In terms of sex, males appear to be more susceptible". Please rephrase this statement.
   A: We thank the reviewer for the comments concerning the expression of "In terms of sex, males appear to be more susceptible", and the prior expression was rephrased as “there are 5 males and 2 females reported” in the revised manuscript (Para. 4, Page 6).

3. References and typesetting have been corrected.

Thank you again for considering our manuscript for publication in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.
Sincerely yours,
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Affiliated Jinhua Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine  
Jinhua 321000, Zhejiang  
China  
Telephone: 0579-82552766  
E-mail: 92513994@qq.com