



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31839

Title: Hepatic artery infusion with raltitrexed or 5-fluorouracil for colorectal cancer liver metastasis.

Reviewer’s code: 02856261

Reviewer’s country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-12 10:40

Date reviewed: 2016-12-15 12:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting study about the hepatic artery infusion with raltitrexed or 5-fluorouracil for colorectal cancer liver metastasis. In this study, the efficiency and safety of hepatic artery infusion with raltitrexed or 5-fluorouracil for colorectal cancer liver metastasis were evaluated. There were no treatment-related deaths in the TOMOX arm and one in the FOLFOX arm. Analysis of prognostic factors indicated that response to HAIC was a significant factor related to survival. No significant survival difference was found between TOMOX and FOLFOX arm. The authors concluded that HAIC treated with both treatments were proven to be efficient and safe alternative choices. 1 The manuscript is well written, but some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2 Study is well designed and the results are interesting. However, the discussion section should be revised so that it can be more focus on the results. 3 Table are clear, the date is important. 4 The references list is updated.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31839

Title: Hepatic artery infusion with raltitrexed or 5-fluorouracil for colorectal cancer liver metastasis.

Reviewer's code: 02937043

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-12-12 10:40

Date reviewed: 2016-12-16 12:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting study. However, it seems that the IRB statement, Biostatistics Statement and other statement were not submitted. Please submit them and make a revision for the language.