Dear Editor,

We thank the reviewers and the editorial team for taking their time and efforts to comment on our article to analyze its value for publication and provide constructive comments to further improve the quality of the manuscript. Herewith we submit the revised version of the article based on the comments obtained from the reviewers for your consideration for publication. The changes made are highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript.

**Reviewer Comment:** Overall well written. The flow is good No major concerns. All relevant issues have been discussed

**Author reply:** Thanks for the constructive comments

**Reviewer Comment:** Being an editorial, the article has a different organisation.

**Author reply:** As rightly said, the structure of the manuscript followed the style of an editorial rather than a standard review.

**Reviewer Comment:** However, the authors may briefly list the main purpose of the current manuscript clearly in the intro.

**Author reply:** Thanks to the reviewer for the comment. The purpose of the editorial has been added to the introduction as suggested.

**Reviewer Comment:** The tables read well. Some image can be added for better understanding

**Author reply:** Thanks for the comment. We have added an image to illustrate the concept detailed in the editorial.

**Reviewer Comment:** Limitations may be discussed

**Author reply:** Thanks for the comment. We have added the limitations in the revised manuscript as suggested.

**Reviewer Comment:** The only disadvantage is the narrative nature of the review. Otherwise well organised

**Author reply:** Thanks to the reviewer for the comment. Although the structure could not be modified largely we aim to make the content presented well to the readers. Thanks again for the constructive comments.