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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors provide an interesting case and have been treated successfully, but there are also some issues that need to be improved. 1. Since the abbreviation was given in the article, the abbreviation should be used. 2. The language, grammar and spelling of the article need to be further improved. 3. Some language expressions are more vague, such as the size of soybeans, is the size of soybeans are same in each country? topical or oral hormones, what mean hormones? 4. Since the author proposes that the lesions are distributed along the Blaschko’s line, it is necessary to provide pictures of the lesions on the trunk. 5. The legends of Figure 1 and Figure 2 are highly consistent and need to be merged into one image. 6. Figure 4 is a clinical picture rather than a dermoscopy. 7. The pathological description of Figure 5 is too simplistic. 8. The contents of Table 1 do not provide new information and this section should be deleted. 9. The authors did not provide a specific follow-up time. 10. The diagnosis of lichen planus along Blaschko’s line was established by dermatoscopy and skin biopsy, whether there are specific histopathological and dermoscopic manifestations when lichen planus along Blaschko’s line? 11. This sentence “Based on the above examination, the patient was diagnosed LP along Blaschko’s line” in the part of TREATMENT should be deleted. 12. The title of the article should be changed to Unilateral Lichen planus with Blaschko line distribution: a case report.
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Peer-reviewer: Peer-Review: Anonymous
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This article describes about the Unilateral distribution of Lichen planus along the Blaschko’s line. And the patient's symptoms and treatment were well described in order. However there are some parts that need to be corrected and supplemented. 1. In the section of Core tip at line 56, authors described LP is rare disease, at line 65, authors described 'although cases of Lichen planus are not uncommon' while, at line 48, Authors described as 'although Lichen planus is a common skin disease..'. These contents should be consistent and need to be corrected. 2. At line 107, laboratory examination was not conducted. For the diagnosis, LP can be diagnosed without laboratory test? If then, further explanation may be needed. Further, for the differential diagnosis of other diseases, the laboratory test is not needed? 3. At line 152, Authors described about the differential diagnosis can be needed. And then authors need a description of how authors were able to differentiate between these diseases.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is all over a good one, with an important issue on dermatology. However, the language needs considerable improvements. Blaschko’s line that you are writing throughout the paper, are instead Blaschko’s lines (use plural!). The sequence of time description is really confusing: Four months ago, after hyperhidrosis, the patient showed a red polygonal flat papule in the size of a soybean with pruritus at her right lower limb, for which she applied topical desonide cream, but the symptoms did not relieve. One week later, the same lesion appeared on the right waist and was not treated. Three months ago, the symptoms were relieved and some lesions turned black. Two months ago.............................. Please avoid writing 'ago' and 'ago' several times, rather refer to a precise time or chronology. What do you mean by pruritus without conscious cause (?) is it visible cause / clear reason? Furthermore, images that you include do not mention Blaschko’s lines anywhere in the legends; I do not see any connection between the same and the lesions. Can you clarify that?