



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11343

Title: Intraoperative Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography, A Useful Tool In Hands of Hepatobiliary Surgeon

Reviewer code: 00187821

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-05-16 22:28

Date reviewed: 2014-06-15 23:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The authors should state how were the patients recruited ? consecutive pts or selected pts- there may be a selection bias of patients for the study. 2. Page 4: (cefotax 1 gm IV) (1 ml injected SC)- the antibiotic should be spelt as generic And SC should be explained in the text (sub-cutaneously). 3. The authors should include definition for Intraoperative ERCP (timing etc.). 4. Who performed the ERCP ? should specify more on the experience of the teams. 5. Why 4 pats in which ERCP was not indicated were not excluded from the study 6. Page 6 the authors should not group the patients into 6 unnecessary groups with Very few patients (ex. group 3=2 pts, group 4=1pt) its better to provide the information without grouping. 7. How come the authors used SPSS 10 a very old and unrecognized edition of SPSS .. 8. It looks like that the continuous variables (SD)are very high and are not normally distributed I recommend using median and IQR instead of mean (bilirubin 4.1 ± 2.93, AST 166.4 ± 110.37 ALT 267.05 ± 235.51- text and Table 2). 9. The results section should be organized properly: pre-operative, operative including ERCP, Post-operative, short term and long term. 10. Page 7 bottom "The average net cost in Egyptian pounds" should be reported either in Euro or Dollars.