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Abstract

BACKGROUND

Ectopic pancreas is a relatively rare congenital condition in which the pancreatic tissue is detected outside its normal location that has no anatomical or vascular relationship to the normal pancreas [1]. Ectopic pancreas often lacks specific clinical symptoms and signs, and because there are no specific clinical manifestations or imaging signs, the correct diagnosis can only be determined, in most cases, through histopathological examination of a resected specimen [2-3]. However, this model is highly susceptible to misdiagnosis and missed diagnosis. In this paper, we report two cases of pancreatic heterotopia in the gastric sinus and small intestine, respectively, both of which were confirmed through histopathological examination.

CASE SUMMARY

The first patient was a 43-year-old female which reported abdominal distension for 2 mo. The second was a 67-year-old female who experienced intermittent epigastric discomfort for 15 days. In both cases, there was no confirmed preoperative examination, and the postoperative pathology indicated the presence of ectopic pancreas.

CONCLUSION
The diagnosis of ectopic pancreas is a difficult task, and is often prone to misdiagnosis and the possibility of being overlooked. Various laboratory tests and imaging tests should be carefully evaluated before surgery to achieve early detection, early diagnosis and early treatment.
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**Core Tip:** The ectopic pancreas is rare, is usually clinically asymptomatic, and lacks a specific clinical presentation. Therefore, the ectopic pancreas is often missed and misdiagnosed in clinical practice. This paper reports the diagnosis and treatment process of two cases of ectopic pancreas in our hospital, to increase awareness about the occurrence of ectopic pancreas and provide a guidance for making a correct diagnosis in timely manner.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ectopic pancreas can occur at any part of the gastrointestinal tract, but it is mostly detected in the upper gastrointestinal tract, especially in the stomach, duodenum and jejunum. It is most commonly found in the stomach (25%-38% of cases), followed by the duodenum (17%-36%) and jejunum (15%-22%). This condition is highly susceptible to misdiagnosis in clinical practice. In the present report, case 1 of gastric ectopic pancreas was misdiagnosed as gastrointestinal mesenchymal tumor. This case highlights the importance for clinicians to thoroughly and meticulously assess digestive swellings, and pay attention to their differential diagnosis. The main differential diagnoses of ectopic pancreas include gastrointestinal mesenchymal tumors, gastrointestinal autonomic tumors, gastric carcinoid tumors, lymphomas, and gastric cancer.
CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

Case 1: A 43-year-old female who reported abdominal distension for 2 mo.

Case 2: A 67-year-old female who experienced intermittent epigastric discomfort for 15 days.

History of present illness

Case 1: The patient presented to our hospital 2 mo ago with abdominal distension of unknown cause, which worsened after eating, without abdominal pain or diarrhea.

Case 2: Fifteen days ago, the patient presented with intermittent epigastric dull pain of no apparent cause, intermittent in nature, not radiating to the back, unrelated to breathing or position change, and relieved by eating. Occasional black stools, no mucopurulent stools, dizziness and weakness were observed.

History of past illness

Case 1: 5 years after a previous endometrial cancer surgery, regular check-ups have shown no signs of recurrence. Case 2: This patient was previously fit, with no special medical history.

Personal and family history

Case 1: The patient had no relevant personal or family history.

Case 2: The patient had no relevant personal or family history.

Physical examination

Case 1: Physical examination of the patient’s heart and lungs was unremarkable. Abdominal examination showed no positive signs for general conditions.
Case 2: Physical examination of the patient’s heart and lungs was unremarkable. Abdominal examination showed no positive signs of any general conditions.

Laboratory examinations
Case 1: Blood analysis, stool analysis, and serum tumor markers showed no significant abnormalities.
Case 2: Blood analysis, stool analysis, and serum tumor markers showed no significant abnormalities.

Imaging examinations
Case 1: Gastroscopic observation: A submucosal mass measuring approximately 3.0 cm × 2.2 cm was seen in the posterior wall of the gastric sinus, with smooth surface mucosa, central depression and rupture, and visible bridge-shaped folds. Ultrasound gastroscopy observation: The lesion was located in the intrinsic muscular layer, and the internal echogenicity was heterogeneous and hypoechoic, approximately 3.0 cm × 2.4 cm in size, showing intra- and extra-cavernous growth with clear borders. Microscopic diagnosis: A submucosal mass was detected in the gastric sinus, suggesting mesenchymal tumor. CT enhancement of the abdomen: The pancreas exhibited normal morphology and positioning, with a distinct contour. There were no signs of abnormal enhancement in the pancreatic tissue, and the pancreatic duct showed no dilation. Additionally, the peripancreatic fat gap was clearly visible.
Case 2: Gastroscopy and pathology suggest: gastric sinus cancer (type IIb).

MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION
Case 1: During surgery: The mass was located on the lateral side of the greater curvature of the gastric sinus, but there was no invasion into the plasma layer, it had a diameter of about 3 cm, slightly tough, movable, and poorly defined. A laparoscopic wedge resection of the stomach was performed. Postoperative pathological returns: (distal stomach) dilated ducts and pancreatic vesicles were seen in the myxomucosa,
submucosa, and superficial muscular layer, which was consistent with pancreatic ectopia.

**Case 2:** During surgery: The mass was located on the lateral side of the greater curvature of the gastric sinus, but did not invade the plasma layer, had a diameter of about 3 cm, slightly tough, movable, and poorly defined. A laparoscopic wedge resection of the stomach was performed. Postoperative pathological returns: (distal stomach) dilated ducts and pancreatic vesicles were seen in the myxomucosa, submucosa and superficial muscular layer, which was consistent with pancreatic ectopia.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

**Case 1:** In the end, the patient was diagnosed with (gastric sinus region) ectopic pancreas in the stomach.

**Case 2:** 1. (distal stomach): Gastric cancer; 2. small intestinal mass (ectopic pancreas)

**TREATMENT**

**Case 1:** Postoperatively, the patient recovered well.

**Case 2:** Postoperatively, the patient recovered well. Adjvant XELOX chemotherapy regimen (oxaliplatin 240 mg as continuous intravenous infusion over 24 h on day 1 combined with capecitabine 1.5 g on days 1-14) was administered as one cycle per 3 wk after surgery.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

**Case 1:** The patient was discharged 8 d after surgery and has been followed up since.

**Case 2:** She was discharged from the hospital 11 days after surgery. As of the latest follow-up, the overall condition is satisfactory.

**DISCUSSION**
Currently, the pathogenesis of ectopic pancreas is not clear, and three main theories have been proposed to explain its occurrence, namely the mislocation theory, the chemotaxis theory, and the totipotent cell theory. The widely accepted mislocation theory suggests that during embryonic rotation, dorsal and ventral deposits of pancreatic tissue migrate and detach from the main body of the pancreas to different ectopic sites [7-10]. The chemotaxis theory suggests that during embryonic development, endodermal tissue migrates to the submucosa and then transforms into pancreatic tissue. The totipotent cell theory postulates that endodermal cells in the intestine differentiate into pancreatic tissue. Most ectopic pancreases are asymptomatic [12], and preoperative diagnosis is often difficult, typically arising as incidental discoveries during surgery or autopsy [2-3]. It was reported that 0.2% of the cases were diagnosed during abdominal surgery and 0.55% - 13.7% were diagnosed through autopsy and were mostly seen in men aged 30-50 years [13], with a male to female incidence ratio of approximately 3:1. However, the two patients in this report were women. Depending on the site of the lesion, some patients may present with nonspecific symptoms and complications such as abdominal pain, nausea, dysphagia, dyspepsia, bleeding and pancreatitis, gastric outlet obstruction or even malignant transformation [14-15]. Neither of the two patients in this report developed these complications. All pancreatic related diseases can also occur in ectopic pancreatic tissue [16]. A small percentage of patients may present with other symptoms, such as jaundice and biliary obstruction, perforation, fever, diarrhea, abscess, and carcinoid syndrome caused by jugular lesions [12].

Understanding and mastering the characteristic imaging manifestations of ectopic pancreas is the key to making a confirmatory diagnosis preoperatively. On imaging, ectopic pancreas is identified by a submucosal mass, presenting as an ill-defined lesion with an intraluminal growth pattern. A CT scan typically reveals enhancement similar to that of the normal pancreas, with surface depression and low attenuation within the lesion [17]. Additionally, a duct-like weakly enhancing shadow, known as the central duct sign, may be observed [18]. The typical endoscopic presentation is a well-defined
submucoxal lesion and [19], a depression formed at the edge of the lesion, known as the umbilical recess sign [18].

The reasons for the misdiagnosis and omission of the two cases in this report were analyzed. Firstly, the incidence of ectopic pancreas is low and relatively rare in clinical practice. Secondly, the small size of the ectopic pancreatic lesion makes the disease extremely easy to be overlooked. Both cases lacked specific clinical manifestations.

Most patients undergo surgical or endoscopic resection to relief symptoms [12]. Therefore, patients with symptoms or complications are treated aggressively; however, the need for resection in those patients who are asymptomatic or whose symptoms have resolved remains controversial [20]. Studies have shown that local surgical resection can satisfactorily treat symptomatic patients who have failed to respond to pharmacological treatment, unless malignant transformation is present [21].

Benign neoplastic lesions caused by ectopic gastric pancreas are less common clinically, and carcinogenesis of ectopic glandular epithelium is even rarer [22]. In 1999, Makhlouf et al [23] reported that in 109 cases of gastrointestinal pancreatic pancreas, the probability of malignant malignancy was only 1.8%, and tumors were more common in the upper digestive tract.

Much like the challenging diagnostic assessment of ectopic pancreas, evaluating the transplant donor poses a similar level of difficulty. In recent years, organ scarcity has led to the utilization of organs from individuals with a cancer history or newly discovered cancer during evaluation for transplantation. However, using organs from donors with a cancer history does come with a risk of cancer transmission. While this risk is extremely low, with reported incidences ranging from about 0.03% to 0.06%, the potential consequences can be severe. Although this risk can be reduced by careful assessment, it cannot be eliminated. The survival rate and prognosis of different types of tumors varies, with the prognosis of melanoma and neuroendocrine tumors reported to be the worst [24]. Thus, proper donor risk assessment is critical. The selection of donors should be carefully evaluated, with caution in the use of donor organs with high metastatic potential malignancy, while weighing up the risk factors for individual
patients. Autopsy and timely pathology allows early detection of cancer and rapid transplantation, but it has low pickup rate and not always accepted by families. Therefore, radiography should also be considered as a method for donor assessment [23]. At present, experts have suggested some effective ways, such as that of the Second Opinion in Italy. The timing of transplantation is equally important because organs must be transplanted as soon as possible to ensure the best possible results and the success of transplantation, enabling remote pathology systems and promoting the application of artificial intelligence (AI).

CONCLUSION

In summary, clinicians and imaging doctors aim to increase our understanding of ectopic pancreas, familiarize with the typical imaging manifestations of ectopic pancreas, and identify a gastrointestinal space during endoscopy or biopsy, consider the possibility of ectopic pancreas, promote early detection and early diagnosis, and make a correct decision on whether to perform surgical intervention.
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