Editors comment

PRISMA Flowchart

Answer: We have now included the PRISMA flowchart and checklist as per the editors recommendation.

Reviewer 1:

Scientific quality – Grade C (Good)
Novelty of the manuscript – Grade B (Good)
Creativity or Innovation of This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)
Scientific Significance of the Conclusion in This Manuscript: Grade B (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept

Answer: We thank the reviewer for their comments and have done the minor language polishing throughout the manuscript.

Reviewer 2:

This systematic review article reports the publication trend of simulation application in kidney stone disease. The manuscript is well written with clear aim and methodology. The results are good presented and well discussed.

Answer: We are really thankful for the reviewers extremely positive and supportive comments.

I would recommend the publication of this systematic review after considering these minor revisions:

1- Some paragraphs and sentences in introduction and discussion are left without the required citation.
   Answer: We have now included citations for introduction and discussion.

2- Authors are advised to mention what some abbreviations stands for.
   Answer: We have now included all abbreviations when they are first mentioned.

3- Authors are advised to mention what statistical software was used to calculate P-value.
   Answer: We have now added this to the methods section, which reads –
   Data were collected using Microsoft Excel (version 2007), analyzed through the independent t test.
A statistically significant threshold level was stated at p<0.05 to rule out possible difference in the data collected from Period-1 vs Period-2.

4- 4- Some missing spaces were noted throughout manuscript.
Answer: We have gone through and removed spaces wherever applicable.

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)