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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

For editors and authors, Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review the interesting manuscript entitled “Endoscopic ultrasound-guided lamiromacrogol injection for treatment of colorectal cavernous hemangioma: Case report and literature review”. I have some opinions for this manuscript. I hope this helps.

1. The figure 1 A-C looks almost identical. Was leakage of contrast medium observed?
2. What do you want to report by Figure 4C and 4D? These figures are difficult to understand.
3. In page 8, last line, the cavernous hemangioma showed the solid echo in EUS?
4. Only general considerations were described in discussion section. It is described that EUS is the best device for diagnosing cavernous hemangioma. Could you please describe the EUS findings in more detail? The actual EUS findings in the two cases also should be discussed.
5. Could you discuss the adaptation of endoscopic treatment in colorectal cavernous hemangioma patients?
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Comments: • Did you aspirate blood before injection of Lauromacrogol to be sure that you were inside a vessel? • What is the pulsed wave Doppler pattern of the injected vessel in the first case? • How did you calculate the amount of the injected Lauromacrogol needed to obliterate the hemangioma? You have injected about 27ml! • Why doing occult blood in stools in the presence of overt bleeding per rectum?! • You have injected Lauromacrogol into a vessel with arterial blood flow in the second case? • History of Osler Weber Rendu and Blue rubber bleb nevus syndromes should be asked for and mentioned in manuscript. • The classification of rectal hemangioma into localized and diffuse types, and capillary and cavernous types and their relation to the previously mentioned syndromes should be mentioned in the discussion section.
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