

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

World J Gastrointest Surg 2024 November 27; 16(11): 3381-3642



EDITORIAL

- 3381 Advances in beyond total mesorectal excision surgery: Behind the scenes
Peltrini R
- 3385 Minimally invasive multivisceral resection in rectal cancer: Preparation or Precipitation?
Ramírez Sánchez C, Lomeli Martínez SM
- 3391 Pembrolizumab in patients with gastric cancer and liver metastases: A paradigm shift in immunotherapy
Christodoulidis G, Bartzi D, Koumarelas KE, Kouliou MN
- 3395 Biliary microbiome and gallstones: A silent friendship
Banerjee T, Goswami AG, Basu S
- 3400 Benefits and drawbacks of radiofrequency ablation *via* percutaneous or minimally invasive surgery for treating hepatocellular carcinoma
Hsieh CL, Peng CM, Chen CW, Liu CH, Teng CT, Liu YJ
- 3408 Immunotherapy for metastatic gastric cancer
Li CF, Lian LL, Li QR, Jiao Y

MINIREVIEWS

- 3413 Risk factors and prevention of pancreatic fistula after laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer
Liu SS, Xie HY, Chang HD, Wang L, Yan S

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Retrospective Cohort Study**

- 3425 Proposal for a new classification of anorectal abscesses based on clinical characteristics and postoperative recurrence
Chen SZ, Sun KJ, Gu YF, Zhao HY, Wang D, Shi YF, Shi RJ

Retrospective Study

- 3437 Risk factors for hemocoagulase-associated hypofibrinogenemia in patients with gastrointestinal bleeding
Zou F, Wu MT, Wang YY
- 3445 Effect of surgical timing on postoperative outcomes in patients with acute cholecystitis after delayed percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage
Gao W, Zheng J, Bai JG, Han Z

- 3453** Clinical significance of appendicoliths in elderly patients over eighty years old undergoing emergency appendectomy: A single-center retrospective study
Min LQ, Lu J, He HY
- 3463** Clinical study of different interventional treatments for primary hepatocellular carcinoma based on propensity-score matching
Cheng XB, Yang L, Lu MQ, Peng YB, Wang L, Zhu SM, Hu ZW, Wang ZL, Yang Q
- 3471** How to preserve the native or reconstructed esophagus after perforations or postoperative leaks: A multidisciplinary 15-year experience
Nachira D, Calabrese G, Senatore A, Pontecorvi V, Kuzmych K, Belletatti C, Boskoski I, Meacci E, Biondi A, Raveglia F, Bove V, Congedo MT, Vita ML, Santoro G, Petracca Ciavarella L, Lococo F, Punzo G, Trivisonno A, Petrella F, Barbaro F, Spada C, D'Ugo D, Cioffi U, Margaritora S
- 3484** Predicting prolonged postoperative ileus in gastric cancer patients based on bowel sounds using intelligent auscultation and machine learning
Shi S, Lu C, Shan L, Yan L, Liang Y, Feng T, Chen Z, Chen X, Wu X, Liu SD, Duan XL, Wang ZZ
- 3499** Factors influencing agitation during anesthesia recovery after laparoscopic hernia repair under total inhalation combined with caudal block anesthesia
Zhu YF, Yi FY, Qin MH, Lu J, Liang H, Yang S, Wei YZ
- 3511** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy plus common bile duct exploration for extrahepatic bile duct stones and postoperative recurrence-associated risk factors
Liao JH, Li JS, Wang TL, Liu WS
- Observational Study**
- 3520** Analysis of therapeutic effect of cell reduction combined with intraperitoneal thermoperfusion chemotherapy in treatment of peritoneal pseudomyxoma
Li WW, Ru XM, Xuan HY, Fan Q, Zhang JJ, Lu J
- 3531** Effect of comprehensive management combined with cognitive intervention on patient cooperation and complications during digestive endoscopy
Yuan JD, Zhang ZZ
- Basic Study**
- 3538** New rabbit model for benign biliary stricture formation with repeatable administration
Sun QY, Cheng YM, Sun YH, Huang J

META-ANALYSIS

- 3546** Preventive effect of probiotics on infections following colorectal cancer surgery: An umbrella meta-analysis
Han Y, Wang Y, Guan M
- 3559** Meta-analysis of electrical stimulation promoting recovery of gastrointestinal function after gynecological abdominal surgery
Huang XX, Gu HF, Shen PH, Chu BL, Chen Y

- 3568** Outcome and risk factors of ulcer healing after gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Chen DY, Chen HD, Lv XD, Huang Z, Jiang D, Li Y, Han B, Han LC, Xu XF, Li SQ, Lin GF, Huang ZX, Lin JN, Lv XP

CASE REPORT

- 3578** Therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in a patient with asplenia-type heterotaxy syndrome: A case report

Zhang YY, Ruan J, Fu Y

- 3584** Blue rubber blister nevus syndrome: A case report

Wang WJ, Chen PL, Shao HZ

- 3590** Emergency pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatitis-associated necrotic perforation of the distal stomach and full-length duodenum: A case report

Tong KN, Zhang WT, Liu K, Xu R, Guo W

- 3598** Primary hepatic leiomyosarcoma masquerading as liver abscess: A case report

Wu FN, Zhang M, Zhang K, Lv XL, Guo JQ, Tu CY, Zhou QY

- 3606** Unexpected right-sided sigmoid colon in laparoscopy: A case report and review of literature

Hu SF, Liu XY, Liu HB, Hao YY

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 3614** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage *vs* percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage for malignant biliary obstruction after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography failure

Zhao H, Zhang XW, Song P, Li X

- 3618** Preoperative malnutrition in elderly gastric cancer patients and adverse postoperative outcomes of radical gastrectomy

Liu SS, Wang L

- 3623** Reconsideration of the clinical management of hepatic hemangioma

Zhang ZH, Jiang C, Li JX

- 3629** Cognitive clarity in colon surgery: The dexmedetomidine advantage

Rao AG, Nashwan AJ

- 3632** Preoperative gastric retention in endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

Efthymiou A, Kennedy PT

- 3636** Does shear wave elastography technology provide better value for the assessment of perianal fistulizing Crohn's disease?

Wu J

- 3639** Unlocking the diagnostic potential of vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukin-17: Advancing early detection strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma

Subramanian S, Rajakumar HK

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Andrea Cavallaro, MD, PhD, Doctor, Research Assistant Professor, Researcher, Department of Surgery and Medical Surgical Specialties, University of Catania, Catania 95123, Italy. andreacavallaro@tiscali.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* (WJGS, *World J Gastrointest Surg*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, *etc.*

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJGS as 1.8; JIF without journal self cites: 1.7; 5-year JIF: 1.9; JIF Rank: 123/290 in surgery; JIF Quartile: Q2; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ISSN

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 30, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Peter Schemmer

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

November 27, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage vs percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage for malignant biliary obstruction after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography failure

He Zhao, Xiao-Wu Zhang, Peng Song, Xiao Li

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification

Scientific Quality: Grade B

Novelty: Grade A

Creativity or Innovation: Grade A

Scientific Significance: Grade A

P-Reviewer: Kong XH

Received: March 16, 2024

Revised: September 14, 2024

Accepted: September 27, 2024

Published online: November 27, 2024

Processing time: 227 Days and 20.8 Hours



He Zhao, Xiao-Wu Zhang, Xiao Li, Department of Interventional Therapy, National Cancer Center, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China

Peng Song, Department of Interventional Therapy, National Cancer Center, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen 518000, Guangdong Province, China

Co-corresponding authors: He Zhao and Xiao Li.

Corresponding author: He Zhao, MD, Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Interventional Therapy, National Cancer Center, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, No. 17 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. hezhaoo@gmail.com

Abstract

In a recent issue of the *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, a meta-analysis investigated the safety and efficacy of electrocautery-enhanced lumen-apposing metal stent (ECE-LAMS) implantation for managing malignant biliary obstruction following failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. This manuscript endeavors to offer a comprehensive look at the progression of endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) technologies, weighing their merits and drawbacks against traditional percutaneous methods. Several meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials have compared the performance of EUS-BD and percutaneous transhepatic cholangiodrainage (PTCD). These studies revealed that the technical success rate, clinical success rate, and adverse events were similar between EUS-BD and PTCD. Nevertheless, given that most of these studies predate 2015, the safety and effectiveness of novel EUS-BD techniques, including ECE-LAMS, compared with those of percutaneous biliary drainage remain elusive. Further investigation is imperative to ascertain whether these novel EUS-BD techniques can safely and efficaciously replace conventional percutaneous therapeutic approaches.

Key Words: Malignant biliary obstruction; Biliary drainage; Endoscopic ultrasound; Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreato-

graphy

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In recent years, several meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials have evaluated the efficacy of endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) vs percutaneous transhepatic cholangiodrainage (PTCD) in managing malignant biliary obstruction after failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Nevertheless, given that most of these studies preceded 2015, the potential of recent EUS-BD advancements, such as electrocautery-enhanced technique, remains largely unexplored. Consequently, there is a pressing need for additional research to conclusively determine whether these novel EUS-BD techniques can effectively supplant established treatments such as PTCD.

Citation: Zhao H, Zhang XW, Song P, Li X. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage vs percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage for malignant biliary obstruction after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography failure. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2024; 16(11): 3614-3617

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v16/i11/3614.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v16.i11.3614>

TO THE EDITOR

Obstructive jaundice resulting from malignancies including pancreatic adenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, periampullary cancer, or other malignancies, can typically be relieved through endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)-guided biliary drainage (BD)[1]. Nevertheless, ERCP-guided BD can fail in 5% to 10% of cases because of anatomical difficulties or inaccessible papilla[2]. Conventionally, percutaneous transhepatic cholangiodrainage (PTCD) or biliary stent placement is the primary treatment for such patients, as it can rapidly alleviate symptoms and improve the liver function[3]. However, owing to its discomfort and invasiveness, new endoscopic BD techniques continue to emerge.

RAPID DEVELOPMENT OF ENDOSCOPIC ULTRASOUND-GUIDED BD TECHNIQUES

Endoscopic BD depends on guidance from ERCP or endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)[4]. In recent years, for patients experiencing ERCP failure, endoscopic ultrasound-guided BD (EUS-BD) methods have undergone rapid advancements. These methods, tailored to the unique route of access and specific location of BD, include the EUS-guided rendezvous technique, antegrade biliary stenting, choledochoduodenostomy, cholangiostomy, and hepaticogastrotomy[5,6]. The basic steps of EUS-BD include observing the dilated extrahepatic bile duct, intrahepatic bile duct, or gallbladder *via* EUS through the duodenum, stomach, or pertinent regions of the digestive tract; puncturing the dilated bile duct or gallbladder under the guidance of EUS; and then introducing a guidewire into the bile duct through the puncture needle [7,8].

If the guidewire successfully passes the obstruction site and emerges from the duodenal papilla, a new guidewire can be introduced through the working channel to enter the deep biliary tract from the papilla[9]. Subsequently, either a drainage tube or metal stent can be introduced through the papilla. This technique is referred to as the EUS-guided rendezvous technique, which represents a refinement of ERCP[10].

If the guidewire cannot pass through the obstruction, a drainage tube or lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) is implanted *via* the dilated puncture tract for drainage between the bile duct and the digestive tract. This technique is usually called EUS-guided choledochoduodenostomy, cholangiostomy, or hepaticogastrotomy depending on the puncture site[11,12]. The anastomosis directly connects the biliary and digestive tracts, providing a dependable passage for the accumulated bile. Theoretically, its clinical efficacy could mirror that of PTCD and avoid the long-term hanging of drainage devices in PTCD. However, this technique requires multiple instrument exchanges and long operation times, which increase the risk of adverse events[13].

Therefore, to improve EUS-guided biliary anastomosis technology, the electrocautery-enhanced (ECE) technique was developed for the rapid release of LAMS by electrocautery dilation of the puncture channel while advancing the delivery catheter, which greatly simplifies the operation, shortens the operation time, and improves the efficiency of EUS-BD. However, given its status as an emerging technology, there remains ongoing debate regarding its safety and efficacy in the real-world population. To evaluate the safety and efficacy of electrocautery-enhanced lumen-apposing metal stent (ECE-LAMS) for the treatment of malignant biliary obstruction after ERCP failure, Peng *et al*[14] conducted a meta-analysis, that included 14 eligible studies involving 620 participants, and reported that ECE-LAMS has high technical and clinical success rates. This study provides reliable evidence-based data for doctors to evaluate the clinical efficacy of the ECE-LAMS.

EVIDENCE-BASED COMPARISON BETWEEN EUS-BD AND PTCD

The development of alternative techniques is beneficial for patients, but it also leads to a selection dilemma. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the clinical and technical differences between EUS-BD and traditional treatments (such as PTCD and percutaneous transhepatic biliary stent placement) for patients and doctors. Recently, Giri *et al*[15] conducted a meta-analysis comparing the clinical efficacy of EUS-BD and PTCD after ERCP failure on the data of 1376 subjects from 23 studies. Their findings revealed that the technical success rate [96.9% *vs* 97.1%; odds ratio (OR) = 1.12; 95%CI: 0.67–1.88] and incidence of major adverse events (1.3% *vs* 1.0%; OR = 0.66; 95%CI: 0.31–1.42) were comparable for EUS-BD and PTCD. However, the clinical success rate of EUS-BD seemed to be significantly greater than that of PTCD (90.6% *vs* 78.4%; OR = 2.55; 95%CI: 1.63–4.56). Interestingly, when restricted to the three randomized controlled studies in the meta-analysis, the clinical success rates were not significantly different between EUS-BD and PTCD (87.1% *vs* 84.4%; OR = 1.45; 95%CI: 0.51–4.09)[16–18]. Given that three randomized controlled trials preceded 2015, it is still unknown whether the application of new technologies (*e.g.*, ECE) significantly improved the efficacy of EUS-BD and conferred substantial advantages over PTCD[19].

Several recent randomized controlled trials have also attempted to answer these questions (*e.g.*, NCT01686425, NCT03546049, and NCT03172832)[20], but many have not been completed due to slow accrual or other impediments. In the future, it is necessary to conduct multicenter randomized controlled studies among patients with malignant obstructive jaundice who have experienced ERCP failure, to compare the safety and efficacy of these new EUS-BD techniques (*e.g.*, ECE-LAMS) with those of PTCD. For the study design, the stratification factors should include the cancer type, and parameters such as technical success, clinical success, adverse events, operation time, cost, and quality of life should be evaluated.

CONCLUSION

The development of EUS-BD technologies has opened new avenues for patients with malignant obstructive jaundice. We believe that EUS-BD techniques will play a pivotal role for patients with malignant obstructive jaundice, with promising advancements anticipated in the years to come.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhao H and Li X contributed to the overall concept and outline of the manuscript; Zhang XW contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript; Song P review of literature; Zhao H took primary responsibility for communication with the journal during the manuscript submission, peer review, and publication processes; all of the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript to be published.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 82001937 and No. 82330061; and the CAMS Initiative for Innovative Medicine, China, No. 2021-I2M-1-015.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report having no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country of origin: China

ORCID number: He Zhao 0000-0002-3262-9116; Xiao-Wu Zhang 0000-0003-1961-0151; Xiao Li 0000-0001-9420-9558.

S-Editor: Luo ML

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang WB

REFERENCES

- 1 Schepers NJ, Hallensleben ND, Besselink MG, Anten MGF, Bollen TL, da Costa DW, van Dijk SM, van Dullemen HM, Dijkgraaf MGW, van Eijck CHJ, Erkelens GW, Erler NS, Fockens P, van Geenen EJM, van Grinsven J, Hollemans RA, van Hooft JE, van der Hulst RWM, Jansen JM, Kubben FJGM, Kuiken SD, Laheij RJF, Quispel R, de Ridder RJJ, Rijk MCM, Römken TEH, Ruigrok CHM, Schoon EJ, Schwartz MP, Smeets XJNM, Spanier BWM, Tan ACITL, Thijs WJ, Timmer R, Venneman NG, Verdonk RC, Vleggaar FP, van de Vrie W, Wittman BJ, van Santvoort HC, Bakker OJ, Bruno MJ; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment in predicted severe acute gallstone pancreatitis (APEC): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 167-176 [PMID: 32682482 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30539-0]

- 2 **Gopakumar H**, Singh RR, Revanur V, Kandula R, Puli SR. Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided vs Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography-Guided Biliary Drainage as Primary Approach to Malignant Distal Biliary Obstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2024; **119**: 1607-1615 [PMID: 38421018 DOI: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000002736]
- 3 **van der Merwe SW**, van Wanrooij RLJ, Bronswijk M, Everett S, Lakhtakia S, Rimbans M, Hucl T, Kunda R, Badaoui A, Law R, Arcidiacono PG, Larghi A, Giovannini M, Khashab MA, Binmoeller KF, Barthet M, Perez-Miranda M, van Hooft JE. Therapeutic endoscopic ultrasound: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. *Endoscopy* 2022; **54**: 185-205 [PMID: 34937098 DOI: 10.1055/a-1717-1391]
- 4 **Fugazza A**, Fabbri C, Di Mitri R, Petrone MC, Colombo M, Cugia L, Amato A, Forti E, Binda C, Maida M, Sinagra E, Repici A, Tarantino I, Anderloni A; i-EUS Group. EUS-guided choledochoduodenostomy for malignant distal biliary obstruction after failed ERCP: a retrospective nationwide analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2022; **95**: 896-904 [PMID: 34995640 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2021.12.032]
- 5 **Thomaidis T**, Kallimanis G, May G, Zhou P, Sivanathan V, Mosko J, Triantafyllidis JK, Teshima C, Moehler M. Advances in the endoscopic management of malignant biliary obstruction. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2020; **33**: 338-347 [PMID: 32624653 DOI: 10.20524/aog.2020.0497]
- 6 **Guilmoteau T**, Albouys J, Taibi A, Legros R, Schaefer M, Jacques J. Will Endoscopic-Ultrasound-Guided Choledochoduodenostomy with Electrocautery-Enhanced Lumen-Apposing Metal Stent Placement Replace Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography When Treating Distal Malignant Biliary Obstructions? *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2024; **60**: 220 [PMID: 38399508 DOI: 10.3390/medicina60020220]
- 7 **Nakai Y**. Can endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage be an alternative biliary drainage in all cases after failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography? *Dig Endosc* 2024 [PMID: 38414388 DOI: 10.1111/den.14760]
- 8 **Debourdeau A**, Daniel J, Caillo L, Assenat E, Bertrand M, Bardol T, Souche FR, Pouderoux P, Gerard R, Lorenzo D, Bourgaux JF. Effectiveness of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided choledochoduodenostomy vs. EUS-guided gallbladder drainage for jaundice in patients with malignant distal biliary obstruction after failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: Retrospective, multicenter study (GALLBLADEUS Study). *Dig Endosc* 2024 [PMID: 38380564 DOI: 10.1111/den.14750]
- 9 **Iwashita T**, Uemura S, Yoshida K, Mita N, Tezuka R, Yasuda I, Shimizu M. EUS-guided hybrid rendezvous technique as salvage for standard rendezvous with intra-hepatic bile duct approach. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0202445 [PMID: 30133542 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202445]
- 10 **Iwashita T**, Yasuda I, Mukai T, Iwata K, Ando N, Doi S, Nakashima M, Uemura S, Mabuchi M, Shimizu M. EUS-guided rendezvous for difficult biliary cannulation using a standardized algorithm: a multicenter prospective pilot study (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; **83**: 394-400 [PMID: 26089103 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.04.043]
- 11 **Teoh AYB**, Napoleon B, Kunda R, Arcidiacono PG, Kongkam P, Larghi A, Van der Merwe S, Jacques J, Legros R, Thawee RE, Saxena P, Aerts M, Archibugi L, Chan SM, Fumex F, Kaffes AJ, Ma MTW, Messaoudi N, Rizzatti G, Ng KKC, Ng EKW, Chiu PWY. EUS-Guided Choledochoduodenostomy Using Lumen Apposing Stent Versus ERCP With Covered Metallic Stents in Patients With Unresectable Malignant Distal Biliary Obstruction: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial (DRA-MBO Trial). *Gastroenterology* 2023; **165**: 473-482 [PMID: 37121331 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2023.04.016]
- 12 **Di Mitri R**, Amata M, Mocciaro F, Conte E, Bonaccorso A, Scrivo B, Scimeca D. EUS-guided biliary drainage with LAMS for distal malignant biliary obstruction when ERCP fails: single-center retrospective study and maldeployment management. *Surg Endosc* 2022; **36**: 4553-4569 [PMID: 34724586 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08808-0]
- 13 **Anderloni A**, Troncone E, Fugazza A, Cappello A, Del Vecchio Blanco G, Monteleone G, Repici A. Lumen-apposing metal stents for malignant biliary obstruction: Is this the ultimate horizon of our experience? *World J Gastroenterol* 2019; **25**: 3857-3869 [PMID: 31413524 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v25.i29.3857]
- 14 **Peng ZX**, Chen FF, Tang W, Zeng X, Du HJ, Pi RX, Liu HM, Lu XX. Endoscopic-ultrasound-guided biliary drainage with placement of electrocautery-enhanced lumen-apposing metal stent for palliation of malignant biliary obstruction: Updated meta-analysis. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2024; **16**: 907-920 [PMID: 38577086 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v16.i3.907]
- 15 **Giri S**, Seth V, Afzalpurkar S, Angadi S, Jearth V, Sundaram S. Endoscopic Ultrasound-guided Versus Percutaneous Transhepatic Biliary Drainage After Failed ERCP: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2023; **33**: 411-419 [PMID: 37314182 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0000000000001192]
- 16 **Marx M**, Caillol F, Autret A, Ratone JP, Zemmour C, Boher JM, Pesenti C, Bories E, Barthet M, Napoléon B, Giovannini M. EUS-guided hepaticogastrostomy in patients with obstructive jaundice after failed or impossible endoscopic retrograde drainage: A multicenter, randomized phase II Study. *Endosc Ultrasound* 2022; **11**: 495-502 [PMID: 36537387 DOI: 10.4103/EUS-D-21-00108]
- 17 **Lee TH**, Choi JH, Park do H, Song TJ, Kim DU, Paik WH, Hwangbo Y, Lee SS, Seo DW, Lee SK, Kim MH. Similar Efficacies of Endoscopic Ultrasound-guided Transmural and Percutaneous Drainage for Malignant Distal Biliary Obstruction. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; **14**: 1011-1019 [PMID: 26748220 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.12.032]
- 18 **Artifon EL**, Aparicio D, Paione JB, Lo SK, Bordini A, Rabello C, Otoch JP, Gupta K. Biliary drainage in patients with unresectable, malignant obstruction where ERCP fails: endoscopic ultrasonography-guided choledochoduodenostomy versus percutaneous drainage. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2012; **46**: 768-774 [PMID: 22810111 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31825f264c]
- 19 **Hanssens M**, DHondt E, Degroote H, Hindryckx P. EUS-guided versus PTC-guided rendezvous in case of failed ERCP: a case-control study. *Surg Endosc* 2023; **37**: 3492-3497 [PMID: 36577905 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-022-09827-1]
- 20 **Schmitz D**, Valiente CT, Dollhopf M, Perez-Miranda M, Küllmer A, Gornals J, Vila J, Weigt J, Voigtländer T, Redondo-Cerezo E, von Hahn T, Albert J, Vom Dahl S, Beyna T, Hartmann D, Franck F, García-Alonso FJ, Schmidt A, Garcia-Sumalla A, Arrubla A, Joerdens M, Kleemann T, Tomo JRA, Grassmann F, Rudi J. Percutaneous transhepatic or endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage in malignant distal bile duct obstruction using a self-expanding metal stent: Study protocol for a prospective European multicenter trial (PUMa trial). *PLoS One* 2022; **17**: e0275029 [PMID: 36302047 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0275029]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

