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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript No.: 72315 Title: Fatal left atrial air embolism caused by lung biopsy: A case report and review of literature In this study, the author has studied “Fatal left atrial air embolism caused by lung biopsy: A case report and review of literature.” A lot of studies have already been carried out on a similar topic, and comprehensive data is available in the literature. The manuscript is very poor and not well written. Sentence making is very poor in this manuscript. The English language used in the manuscript needs major improvements as there are some punctuation and grammatical mistakes present throughout the manuscript. The figures required the proper explanation and caption. The study principle and objective are not clear. Moreover, research models are not discussed in an understandable manner, the introduction section is very poor followed by literature, which reflects that the author needs a more comprehensive way of thinking. The results are very weak and presented very poorly. The discussion part is not up to the mark and no significant comparison is made. It is obvious that the quality of the manuscript does not fulfil the standards of the journal, therefore should be rejected in its present form. Specific comments: 1. The Abstract needs to be critically revised, there are few grammatical mistakes. 2. Please add more strong keywords. 3. The title of the manuscript reflects a ‘review of literature’, please add more relevant data in the introduction section to support the title. 4. INTRODUCTION: The whole introduction section is general. Authors are advised to revise the introduction section carefully and add more data to make an association between each sentence to support the problem statement. It is advised to add literature in introduction section to create a research gap. 5. Page 4: What is the novelty of the present study? 6. Page 5: “A 71-year-old woman
was referred to our hospital for the evaluation of a lung lesion.” Please add the name of the hospital. 7. The ‘Treatment’ section needs a major revision, please add more appropriate data. 8. The discussion section is not up to the mark, it is too long and should be moved to the introduction section. No overall conclusion and limitations are added. Overall, discussion section is very poor and need extensive revision. 9. The present study lacks future recommendations. 10. Authors are advised to proofread the whole manuscript to overcome grammatical mistakes. 11. The figures need proper interpretation and appropriate captions. 12. The figures quality is low, please improve it and must be minimum of 300 DPI. 13. The headings and subheadings need to be revised.
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interesting case report the dangers of CT guided puncture are important, the distance between the heart and the tumor is relatively high, so rather unexpected complication however uncertainty remains if the cause of death is systemic air embolism I have doubts that the amount of air in the left atrium could be enough for this catastrophic outcome the massive hemoptysis is not adequately explained and could also be the cause of death.
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In the paper “Fatal left atrial air embolism caused by lung biopsy: A case report and review of literature” authors describe a rare case of systematic air embolism (SAE) occurred during a lung biopsy. The case is well described and documented moreover the authors have done a high quality revision of the (little) literature present on the argument. Thank you for the opportunity to review this nice case report!
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