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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Comments for the article of 75156  Titled: Crosstalk between dietary patterns, obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease  Minor revise  In this review, the author described specific dietary interventions impact on liver steatosis in adults with NAFLD and to provide an updated overview of dietary recommendations for clinicians. However, there are some questions to need to reply.  1. There are 132 references in this review.  We noticed that the results of different studies are inconsistent. I would like to know how the author selected the references?  Please provide literature selection rules in the article.
  2. Five studies in the section of Mediterranean dietary pattern and NAFLD did not show a good relationship between adherence to MD and odds of NAFLD. Only one studies showed that adherence to MD reduced the risk of this disease. However, the European Association for the Study of The Liver (EASL), European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD)-European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO) Clinical Practice Guidelines have encouraged MD diet as a lifestyle choice for treating the disease. Please provide some analysis of why MD is recommended.  3. Please provide Legend for Figure 1. NAFLD specturm is recommended to be placed at the top of Figure 1. All abbreviations such as MUFA, PUFA and SFA need to give notes. What do the different text colors in the figure mean?
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This is an excellent review on an important topic