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Abstract

BACKGROUND

As one of the most common tumors, gastric cancer (GC) has a high mortality rate, since current examination approaches cannot achieve early diagnosis. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (Fn) primarily colonized in the oral cavity, has been reported to be involved in the development of gastrointestinal tumor. Until now, little is known about the relationship between salivary Fn and GC.

AIM

To determine whether salivary Fn could be a biomarker to diagnose GC and explore influence of Fn on GC cells.

METHODS

The abundance of Fn in saliva was quantified by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction in 120 GC patients, 31 atrophic gastritis (AG) patients, 35 non-AG (NAG) patients, 26 gastric polyp (GP) patients, and 20 normal controls (NC) from Qilu Hospital of Shandong University from January 2019 to December 2020. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were performed to evaluate the diagnostic value of Fn as well as traditional serum tumor markers, including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, CA72-4. Transwell assay and wound-healing assay were conducted to assess the influence of Fn infection on GC cells. The expressions of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) markers were detected using west blotting assay.

RESULTS

We found that the level of salivary Fn in GC was significantly increased compared with AG, NAG, GP patients and NC ($P < 0.001$). The ROC curves showed a favorable capability of Fn (79.10% sensitivity, 87.50% specificity, area under the curve: 0.813) in GC diagnosis, which was superior to CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid. The
Fn level in saliva of GC patients was increased with the TNM stage increased. GC patients with lymph node metastasis had higher Fn levels than those without metastasis. Both transwell assays and wound-healing assays indicated that Fn infection promoted the migration and invasion of GC cells. The western blotting analysis showed that Fn infection decreased the expression of E-cadherin and increased the expressions of N-cadherin, vimentin and snail.

CONCLUSION
Fn abundance in saliva could be used as a promising biomarker to diagnose GC, and Fn infection could promote GC metastasis by accelerating the EMT process.
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Core Tip: Fusobacterium nucleatum (Fn) known as a periodontal pathogen is frequently detected in tissues of gastrointestinal tumor. Here, we found that the level of salivary Fn was increased in gastric cancer (GC) patients, which could be used as a potential biomarker for GC diagnosis, and its diagnostic capability was superior to that of traditional serum tumor markers. We also showed that salivary Fn level was positively associated with the GC TNM stage and lymph node metastasis. Further, experiments in vitro revealed that Fn could promote the migration and invasion of GC cells by promoting the epithelial-mesenchymal transition process. Our finding suggests salivary Fn is a potential biomarker in diagnosis of GC.

INTRODUCTION
Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common malignant tumors, with morbidity and mortality ranking fifth and third in the world, respectively, posing a great threat to global human health[1]. Although the 5-year survival rate of GC is enhanced with the combination of chemotherapy and surgery, its mortality remains high due to the late diagnosis at an advanced stage with a limited therapeutic scheme to choose from. Therefore, effective early diagnosis for GC is especially important.

The microorganisms in the human body are complex and they play important roles in each part of our body. Over 700 bacterial species have been detected in the human oral cavity, and they interact with each other as well as with hosts[2]. The stomach was considered a sterile organ until the discovery of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) in 1984[3]. Subsequently, other microorganisms are found in gastric mucosa[4]. Although the gut microbiota ecosystem is different from the oral cavity, there is also a partial similarity between them, since most of the bacteria in the oral cavity enter the stomach when swallowing food and saliva.

Human saliva is a unique biofluid resource with huge clinical diagnostic and risk assessment capacity. Moreover, it is easy to acquire in non-invasive and painless approaches. Most importantly, individual oral environment basically maintains a long-term relatively stable state except for little fluctuation caused by diet, infections, or other factors, and the dominant oral florals in different individuals are host specific[5]. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (Fn) is a Gram-negative anaerobic bacterium, which is a normal composition of the oral microenvironment[6,7]. In recent years, due to its increased detection rate in oral infectious diseases, it has been identified as an opportunistic pathogen[8]. Chronic periodontitis is caused by the ecological imbalance of the subgingival plaque biofilm communities, leading to the growth of dominant species, which destroys the host immune response, and leads to inflammation[9]. Fn has been proved to be one of the pathogens that grow abnormally before periodontal disease, leading to an imbalance in the composition of oral symbiotic bacteria, which leads to the occurrence of periodontitis[10]. It forms a bridge between the early symbiotic colonizers and the late pathogenic colonizers[11]. In recent years, Fn has also been found to be
associated with extra-oral infections, including adverse pregnancy outcomes, rheumatoid arthritis, respiratory tract infections, and gastrointestinal neoplasms[12-14]. Accumulating evidence has shown that Fn is associated with the occurrence, development, and metastasis of colorectal cancer (CRC). However, the information for GC is limited. In the present study, we aimed to detect the Fn abundance in saliva using digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR), and establish a new simple and effective diagnostic approach to improve the early diagnosis of GC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and sample collection
Subjects with GC (n = 120), atrophic gastritis (AG) (n = 31), non-AG (NAG) (n = 35), gastric polyps (GP) (n = 26), and normal controls (NC) (n = 20) undergoing gastroscopy examination in Qilu Hospital of Shandong University from January 2019 to December 2020 were enrolled in this study. The selection of NC mainly depended on the normal results of gastroscopy examination, biochemical indexes and tumor marker detection. GC, AG, NAG and GP were further confirmed based on histopathological analyses. The pathological stage of GC was assessed by the 8th edition TNM staging system. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Subjects who used any antibiotics within the last 4 wk; (2) Subjects with oral inflammation; and (3) Subjects without detailed general clinical data. Whole saliva specimens were collected by Salivette® tube before brushing teeth and eating breakfast in the morning. After collection, samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory with ice gauges and centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 10 min at 4 °C. All saliva samples were stored at -80 °C until further analysis. All study participants provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (Approval No. KYLL-2019-2-013).

DNA isolation
Total genomic DNA was extracted from 200 µL saliva using the QIAamp Blood Mini Kit (cat. 51106, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and purified DNA was finally dissolved in 80 µL AE buffer. The concentration of DNA was determined by the Qubit 3 Fluorometer using an Equalbit 1 × dsDNA HS Assay Kit (cat. EQ121-01, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ddPCR**

The ddPCR was performed by the bio-digital PCR platform (Turtle Tech Ltd., Shanghai, China) in a 35 µL reaction system consisting of 5 µL genomic DNA, 3.5 µL 10 × dPCR buffer, 1 µL dPCR enzyme, 1 µM primers, and 0.5 µM probe of Fn (forward primer: 5’-TGGTGTCAATTCTTCAAAAAATATCA-3’; reverse primer: 5’-AGATCAAGAAGGACAAGTGCTGAA-3’; probe: 5’-ACTTTAACTCTACCATGTGCA-3’). After the automatic sample loading process was completed, the chips with samples added were transferred into a nucleic acid amplification instrument (Turtle Tech Ltd., Shanghai, China). Briefly, after an initial enzyme activation step at 50 °C for 10 min and then at 90 °C for 10 min, the amplifications of Fn DNA were carried out with 45 cycles. After amplification, the chips were transferred to the commercial digital PCR platform (BioDigital dPCR System, Turtle Tech. Ltd., Shanghai, China) to detect fluorescence amplitude signals.

**Cell culture**

The GC cell lines aerobic granular sludge (AGS) and MKN-28 were purchased from Shanghai Zhongqiao Xinzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and maintained in appropriate medium (Hyclone, United States) supplanting with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, United States) under the standard conditions (50 mL/L CO₂, 37 °C).

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**
Two strains of Fn (ATCC49256 and ATCC25586) used in our assays were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. The strains were first inoculated and cultured on Columbia Blood Agar under the anaerobic condition at 37 °C for 48 h. Then a single colony was transferred to a fluid thioglycolate medium, followed by incubation at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions (90 mL/L N₂, 50 mL/L CO₂, and 50 mL/L H₂) to reach an optical density of OD₆₅₀ = 0.2 for each bacterial strain.

**Coculture**

AGS and MKN-28 cells (2 × 10⁵/per well) were seeded in six-well plates with 2 mL complete RPMI-1640 medium and cultured overnight. The plates were then incubated under an anaerobic condition mentioned above for 24 h. On the next day, the cultured Fn was centrifuged (4400 × g for 5 min at room temperature), and the bottom bacteria were sedimented and then re-suspended with fresh RPMI-1640 medium after the supernatant was discarded. After the OD₆₀₀ was determined, the resuspended Fn was added to AGS and MKN-28 cells for co-culture. Cell treated with phosphate buffer solution served as a control group. The plates were centrifuged at 250 × g for 5 min and placed back in an anaerobic incubator for 24 h. For all the experiments in this study, the Fn were added to the cells at an multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 based on the preliminary experiments, and this value was common in the published articles.

**Transwell assay**

After 24 h of infection, the cells were washed and seeded into the upper chamber of an 8-μm transwell inserts (Costar, Corning, New York, United States). Transwell chambers used for invasion assay were pre-coated with Matrigel (Corning), while the chambers used for migration assay were not treated. The upper chamber of the transwell contained 2 × 10⁴ cells in a volume of 200 μL serum-free medium, and 700 μL of medium containing 10% serum was added into the lower chamber. After being cultured for 24 h, the cells in the upper chamber were wiped by the cotton tip, and the adherent cells in the lower chamber were fixed with 40 g/L formaldehyde for 10 min and stained.
with 0.5% crystal violet for 30 min. The images were captured by an inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

**Wound-healing assay**

AGS and MKN-28 cells (5 × 10^5 cells/ per well) were seeded in six-well plates, and the later infection process was the same as the transwell assay above-mentioned. After 24 h of Fn infection, the cells were washed with RPMI-1640 medium (by this time, the cells were grown to 100% confluence, if not, cultured continually). A 200 µL pipette tip was used to make a straight scratch, simulating a wound. Subsequently, the medium and floating cells were removed and replaced with a serum-free culture medium, and the imagines were captured (0 h). After 48 h of cell culture, images were captured again. The cell migration rate was evaluated by comparing the healing degree between 0 h and 48 h.

**Western blotting analysis**

The AGS and MKN-28 cells with or without Fn infection were lysed in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer for 30 min on ice. Then the cell lysates were centrifuged at 12000 r/min for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was collected. The bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, United States) was used to quantify protein concentrations. Subsequently, equal amounts of proteins (30 µg) were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and then electrophoretically transferred on to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The blots were blocked with 5% skim milk in tris buffered saline with tween (TBST) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, United States) containing 0.1% tween-20 (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, United States) at room temperature for 1 h. The membranes were then incubated overnight at 4 °C with the primary antibodies against E-cadherin (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #3195S, Danvers, MA, United States), N-cadherin (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #13116T, Danvers, MA, United States), vimentin (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #5741S, Danvers, MA, United States), Snail (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #3879S, Danvers, MA, United States) and
GAPDH (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #5174S, Danvers, MA, United States). The membranes were washed three times with 1 × TBST buffer, followed by incubation with anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000; Huaan, Hangzhou, China) at room temperature for 1.5 h. The immunoreactive bands were visualized using an Amersham Imager 680 (GE, Boston, United States). GAPDH served as a loading control.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and the graphs were prepared using the GraphPad Prism 8.0 (La Jolla, CA). Statistical review was performed by a biomedical statistician. The Fn abundance in different groups was expressed as median and interquartile range. A χ² test was used for the comparison of categorical variables. A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed for the global comparison of multiple groups and post-hoc multiple comparisons were used Mann-Whitney U test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was conducted via MedCalc15.2.2 to evaluate the diagnostic value of Fn as well as other serum tumor markers, and the best cut-off values were calculated by the Youden index. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Abundance of Fn in the saliva of GC patients
Our ddPCR results showed a significantly difference in salivary Fn among the subjects with GC, AG, NAG, GP and NC. And the Fn level was significantly increased in GC patients compared with AG, NAG, GP and NC while there was no difference among AG, NAG, GP patients and NC (Figure 1A). Moreover, the Fn level was increased with the TNM stage increased (Figure 1B). Further clinical characteristic analysis showed that GC patients with lymph node metastasis had higher Fn levels than those without metastasis (Table 1). However, the Fn level in GC was not significantly associated with age, gender, tumor size, pathological differentiation distribution and invasion depth.
Diagnostic performance of salivary Fn for GC patients

ROC analysis indicated some diagnostic power with a fitted area under the curve (AUC) of 0.813 (95% confidence interval: 0.756-0.861) (Figure 2A). When the Youden index was used to calculate the optimal cut-off value of the Fn copy number 67.58 copies/μL, the sensitivity and specificity were 73.33% and 82.14% respectively. To better evaluate the diagnostic value of Fn in saliva for GC, the routine clinical test records of traditional serum tumor markers, including CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid were assessed. The upper cut-off values of these markers were determined according to the manufacturer’s directions. Table 2 showed that the Fn level was significantly higher in GC patients compared with AG, NAG, GP patients and NC, while CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4 and ferritin did not significantly different between GC and the other four groups. Although sialic acid was also significantly different among the five groups, its diagnostic value was still lower than that of Fn, according to the ROC curves.

The ROC curves presented that the AUC of CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid was 0.620, 0.570, 0.541, 0.648, and 0.693, respectively (Figures 2B, 2C and 2D). In addition, the sensitivity of Fn was higher compared with the other traditional serum markers. As for the specificity, the value of Fn was higher than CEA, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid, but lower than that of CA19-9. Of note, though the specificity of CA19-9 was high, its sensitivity was relatively low (Table 3). These results indicated that the diagnostic capability of Fn was superior to that of CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid.

Role of Fn in GC metastasis

To evaluate the effect of Fn infection in vitro, we infected AGS and MKN-28 cells with Fn. The transwell assay indicated that Fn infection significantly enhanced the invasive and migratory capacities of AGS and MKN-28 cells (Figure 3). Consistent with these results, the wound-healing assay showed that Fn infection promoted the migration of these cells (Figure 4). Since epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is an important
process of metastasis, we examined the affection of Fn infection on the expressions of proteins involved in the EMT process. The western blotting analysis revealed that Fn infection decreased the expressions of epithelial markers, such as E-cadherin, while it increased the expressions of mesenchymal phenotype-associated molecules, such as N-cadherin, vimentin and snail (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we, for the first time, reported the relationship between the Fn level in saliva and GC development. Firstly, a more sensitive ddPCR approach was used to determine the amount of Fn DNA in saliva, and the results showed that a higher number of Fn existed in the saliva of GC patients compared with NC and patients with benign disease. Importantly, salivary Fn had a good diagnostic value for GC, which was superior to traditional serum tumor markers, such as CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin, and sialic acid, providing a new direction for the diagnosis of GC. We also found that the Fn level was positively associated with TNM stage and lymph node metastasis. Furthermore, transwell assay and wound-healing assay verified the role of Fn in promoting the invasion and migration of GC cells. Subsequently, we indicated that Fn infection promoted GC metastasis by accelerating the EMT process.

It is essential to diagnose GC at an early stage. Currently, gastroscopy in combination with biopsy is considered the most accurate method to diagnose GC. However, early asymptomatic patients have poor compliance with gastroscopy due to its invasiveness, which directly reduces the rate of early diagnosis of GC. Serum tumor markers are another approach to screen GC. For example, CEA, CA19-9, and CA72-4 are widely used in clinical practice. However, serum tumor marker is not recommended for routine clinical screening due to the lack of specificity and sensitivity\cite{15}. In a cohort consisting of 587 early GC patients, the positive rate of CA19-9, CA125, and CEA is 4.8%, 1.9%, and 4.3%, respectively\cite{16}. For Fn in saliva, it is not only easy to obtain, but also shows a better diagnostic capacity for GC. Moreover, salivary Fn has a pleasurable tissue specificity as a diagnostic marker for GC.
*H. pylori* is a well-known risk factor for GC. However, other bacterial genera are also detected in gastric mucosa, such as *Prevotella*, *Rothia*, *Fusobacterium* and *Klebsiella*.[17,18] The Fn, a resident colony of bacteria in the oral cavity, is detected significantly higher in the GC tissue compared with the para-cancerous tissue.[19] There is a microbial succession hypothesis to explain the decrease of *H. pylori* and elevation of the non-dominant flora in GC.[18] The hypothesis proposes that *H. pylori* can produce urease through the mucus layer, enhancing pH value to modify the microenvironment and triggering a strong inflammatory response. In such a process, gastric mucosal integrity is destroyed, and other secondary bacteria invade the mucus layer, causing more aggressive tumor occurrence. Therefore, Fn in the oral cavity can easily reach the stomach and colonize through the gastric mucosa barrier as the swallowing of saliva, forming an invasion focal. Thus, we think Fn cooperates with *H. pylori* promote GC development. Hsieh et al.[20] have shown Fn colonization leads to worse prognosis in patients with *H. pylori* positive GC.

As a high-frequency periodontal pathogen, Fn has been confirmed to promote the initiation, proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance of CRC in recent years. There are several suggested mechanisms, such as enhancing adhesion and evasion ability, modulating host immune evasion, and improving autophagy to cause chemoresistance.[21-23]. It is worth noting that Fn is considered to play a more important role in promoting the formation of tumor, rather than its development.[24] Consistent with these findings, Fn has been confirmed to have key pathogenic characteristics, such as virulence factor FadA and characteristic adhesion protein Fap2.[25-27]. In addition to promoting the occurrence and development of CRC, accumulating evidence has shown that Fn also plays an important role in promoting CRC metastasis.[28,29] In our present study, a high level of Fn was found in GC patients with lymph node metastasis. Though it’s impact in promoting tumor metastasis has been recognized, the role of Fn in such a process and its potential mechanism remain largely unclear. Chen et al.[30] have detected Fn colonization in metastatic lung lesions of nude mice, and they further verified that Fn infection up-regulates KRT7 (type II cytokeratin, which plays a role in maintaining
the structural integrity of the cells as well as promoting motile activities) to promote CRC metastasis. Besides, Chen et al.[31] have identified that Fn activates autophagy to promote CRC metastasis. It is worth mentioning that EMT is the most well-validated method, by which Fn promotes CRC metastasis, and it has been discovered that the effect is enhanced when the MOI is increased.[32-34]. EMT is a classical pathway promoting metastasis. It is a special program that enables settled epithelial cells to gain the ability to migrate as single cells, which can enhance mobility, invasion, and resistance to apoptosis, conferring metastatic properties of cancer cells.[35]. The examinations conducted in CRC both in vivo and in vitro have verified that Fn can promote the EMT process.[28]. We supplemented the evidence that Fn promoted the EMT process in GC cells. Moreover, Fn infection significantly decreased the expressions of cell adhesion molecules and increased the expressions of mesenchymal phenotype-associated molecules, resulting in GC metastasis finally. Taken together, we identified that Fn promoted GC metastasis by facilitating EMT process.

Targeting Fn may reduce the progression of related cancers to ascertain the origin of Fn in cancers, and determining the route by which it reaches the tumor is of therapeutic significance. It has been found for a long time that part of Fn detected in CRC tissue is originated from the oral cavity, while how Fn in the oral cavity is transported to other body sites is also a hot topic. Initially, Fn strains with similar sequences were found in human primary CRC tissues and paired liver metastases, suggesting that these bacteria can migrate to distant sites with CRC cells.[29]. Therefore, some scholars believe that Fn enters tumor tissue via blood circulation. The existing data have shown that Fn is detected at higher loads in GC tissue[19], and our data suggested that Fn abundance was increased in the saliva of GC patients. Could this indicate that Fn could directly enter the gastrointestinal tract, and then colonize epithelial cells? For Fn can produce a variety of adhesion factors as well as invasive factors, it is easy to adhere to the gastrointestinal mucosa, and form an inflammatory microenvironment, which is conducive to the formation of tumor. However, some evidence has also shown that Fn in the blood is more successful in CRC colonization than being gavaged, indicating that
Fn the in blood (from a bleeding wound in the gum or some other way) plays a more important role in forming colonization than the ones in the digestive tract, even if there is a disadvantage in quantity\textsuperscript{36}. Which type of Fn transmission is dominant in tumor formation, or the two work together remains to be further studied.

There are also some limitations in our study. First, the small sample size caused by high cost led to selection bias. In follow-up studies, larger, multicenter studies are required to consolidate the findings. Second, the flora in the oral cavity is diverse. Whether other floras have an impact on the occurrence and development of GC, or whether they have interacted with Fn needs to be further explored.

**CONCLUSION**

Collectively, Fn in saliva exhibited a good predictive ability and represented a promising diagnostic marker for GC. Given the tumorigenicity and metastasis-promoting properties of Fn, we suggested that the elimination of Fn could reduce the incidence of GC and improve the treatment outcomes, which was similar to triple or quadruple anti-\textit{H. pylori} therapy.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

\textit{Research background}

Gastric cancer (GC) is a common malignant tumor in the digestive tract. Although the 5-year survival rate of GC is enhanced with the combination of chemotherapy and surgery, its mortality remains high due to the late diagnosis at an advanced stage. It is essential to develop a new method to diagnose GC at an early stage.

\textit{Research motivation}

\textit{Fusobacterium nucleatum} (Fn) primarily colonized in the oral cavity, has been detected in tissues of GC in recent years. We wondered whether there was a correlation between salivary Fn and GC.
Research objectives
The research purpose was to find a new simple and effective biomarker to diagnose GC.

Research methods
The abundance of Fn in saliva was quantified by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction in 120 GC patients, 31 atrophic gastritis (AG) patients, 35 non-AG (NAG) patients, 26 gastric polyp patients, and 20 normal controls (NC). The diagnostic value of Fn was evaluated and compared with traditional serum tumor markers, including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen (CA)19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid. Transwell assay and wound-healing assay were conducted to assess the influence of Fn infection on GC cells. Western blotting was used to detect the expressions of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) markers.

Research results
Fn had a favorable diagnostic value in classifying GC from NC and benign diseases, which was superior to those traditional serum tumor markers, such as CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, ferritin and sialic acid. Salivary Fn level was positively associated with the GC TNM stage and lymph node metastasis. Further, in vitro experiments revealed that Fn could promote the migration and invasion of GC cells. And, western blotting analysis indicated that Fn infection decreased the expression of epithelial marker, E-cadherin, and increased the expressions of mesenchymal markers, N-cadherin, vimentin and snail.

Research conclusions
Fn in saliva could be used as a promising biomarker to diagnose GC, and Fn infection could promote GC metastasis by accelerating the EMT process.

Research perspectives
Human saliva is a unique bio-fluid resource with huge clinical diagnostic and risk assessment capacity. Salivary Fn has a promising value of diagnosing GC.
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